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Broadly, my mathematical research interests lie in the theory of commutative algebra. Put simply, commutative algebra is the study of basic arithmetic operations such as addition and multiplication. We refer to a set $R$ that contains 0 as a ring whenever addition, subtraction, and multiplication can be performed on any pair of objects in $R$ such that the resulting sum, difference, or product belongs to $R$ and the familiar distributive laws of addition and multiplication hold. Given that the product of two elements $r$ and $s$ of $R$ satisfies $r \cdot s=s \cdot r$, we say that $R$ is commutative; if there exists a unique element 1 in $R$ such that $1 \cdot r=r=r \cdot 1$ holds for every element $r$ of $R$, we say that $R$ is unital.

Even though their structure is completely determined by the operations of addition and multiplication, commutative unital rings give rise to a wealth of interesting and beautiful properties. Essential to our understanding is the introduction of additional algebraic structures such as ideals and modules. Concretely, an ideal $I$ of a commutative ring $R$ is a collection of ring elements such that (a.) the sum of any two elements of $I$ yields an element of $I$ and (b.) the product of any element of $I$ by an arbitrary ring element yields an element of $I$. On the other hand, a module $M$ over a commutative ring $R$ is a collection of elements (not necessarily lying in $R$ ) such that any pair of elements can be added and multiplication $r \cdot m$ can be performed on any pair with $r$ lying in $R$ and $m$ lying in $M$.

Polynomials are perhaps the most familiar class of commutative rings. Polynomials behave intuitively; however, if we restrict ourselves only to the variables $x^{s_{1}}, \ldots, x^{s_{n}}$ for some positive integers $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}$, things become much more interesting. Concretely, the polynomial $f(x)=3 x^{8}-x^{5}+x^{2}+1$ makes sense in the ring of polynomials with rational coefficients $\mathbb{Q}[x]$, but $f(x)$ is no longer feasible in the variables $x^{3}$ and $x^{7}$ since the only powers of $x$ appearing in such a polynomial must be of the form $3 a+7 b$ for some non-negative integers $a$ and $b$. Consequently, polynomials model more sophisticated problems in additive number theory such as the membership problem of a numerical semigroup.

Even more, we may associate to any finite simple graph $G$ on $n$ vertices a squarefree monomial ideal $I(G)$ of the $n$-variate polynomial ring $k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ over a field $k$. By this correspondence, we may deduce information about $G$ via the properties of $I(G)$ and vice-versa: one can show that the ideal $I(G)$ is the Stanley-Reisner ideal associated to the independence complex of the graph $G$. Ultimately, these identifications forge a strong relationship - known as Stanley-Reisner theory - between the study of polynomial rings and combinatorics that has been fruitfully implemented for decades.

Broadly, the algebraic structures defined in the previous two paragraphs are monomial algebras. By themselves, monomial algebras constitute subtle and interesting structures whose study is challenging yet enjoyable; however, monomial algebras play an important role in bioinformatics, signal processing, and systems biology that make them invaluable in applications and mathematical modeling. Consequently, my research seeks to understand the nature of these monomial algebras.

## 1 Numerical Semigroups and Numerical Semigroup Rings

Univariate polynomial rings over fields enjoy well-studied and well-understood properties; however, if we restrict our attention to polynomials in $x^{a_{1}}, \ldots, x^{a_{n}}$ for some indeterminate $x$ and positive integers $a_{1}<\cdots<a_{n}$, the situation becomes much more complicated. Central to this study, the polynomial membership problem seeks to determine whether a polynomial in $x$ can be written as a polynomial in $x^{a_{1}}, \ldots, x^{a_{n}}$. Concretely, observe that $x^{12}-17 x^{7}+3 x^{4}+2 x^{3}$ is a polynomial in $x^{3}$ and $x^{4}$ because
$12=3 \cdot 4$ and $7=3+4$ yield that $x^{12}=\left(x^{4}\right)^{3}=\left(x^{3}\right)^{4}$ and $x^{7}=x^{3} \cdot x^{4}$; however, it is easily verified that $x^{5}-x^{2}+x$ cannot be written as a polynomial in $x^{3}$ and $x^{4}$ simply because of the $x$ and $x^{2}$ terms.

Considering that multiplication of the monomials $x^{a}$ and $x^{b}$ corresponds to addition of the exponents $a$ and $b$ since $x^{a} \cdot x^{b}=x^{a+b}$, the polynomial membership problem is equivalent to the additive membership problem of the exponents of the monomials that generate the monomial subring $k\left[x^{a}, x^{b}\right]$ of $k[x]$. Based on this observation, it is fruitful to study the numerical semigroup $S$ consisting of the non-negative integer powers of the monomials $x^{s}$ to which we restrict our consideration.

Let $k$ be a field. Consider the monomial subring $k\left[x^{s} \mid s \in S\right]$ of $k[x]$ consisting of all polynomials in the monomials $x^{s}$ for some subset $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ of the non-negative integers that contains 0 , is closed under addition, and satisfies $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \backslash S$ is finite. We refer to the nonempty set $S$ as a numerical semigroup; the commutative unital ring $k[S]=k\left[x^{s} \mid s \in S\right]$ is its corresponding numerical semigroup ring. Crucially, observe that $x^{a}$ belongs to $k[S]$ if and only if the integer $a$ belongs to $S$. Observe that the Frobenius number $\mathrm{F}(S)=\max \left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \backslash S\right)$ is well-defined. We define the pseudo-Frobenius numbers of $S$ as

$$
\operatorname{PF}(S)=\left\{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \backslash S \mid n+s \in S \text { for all nonzero elements } s \in S\right\}
$$

One can establish that there exist relatively prime integers $a_{1}<\cdots<a_{n} \in S$ such that $S$ consists precisely of all $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$-linear combinations of these integers $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$, i.e., $S=\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle$. Even more, one may take the generators $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ to be minimal among all sets of generators of $S$. We refer to the minimum number of generators of $S$ as the embedding dimension $\mu(S)$; the least positive integer belonging to $S$ is its multiplicity $e(S)$. We note that $\mu(S) \leq e(S)$ holds by the Pigeonhole Principle.

On their own, numerical semigroups have been studied at length by Fröberg (see [Fr94]), GarcíaSánchez (see [AsGS, DelG-SR-P, G-SR99, G-SR09]), Herzog (see [He69, HeHiSta21, HeKumSta]), and many others because the numerical semigroup rings constitute an interesting class of one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay rings whose properties are intimately connected with the properties of the corresponding numerical semigroup. Concretely, one can show that the embedding dimension and (HilbertSamuel) multiplicity of $k[S]$ and $S$ are equal. Kunz showed that the numerical semigroup ring $k[S]$ is Gorenstein if and only if the numerical semigroup $S$ is symmetric (see [Kun]). Even more, a numerical semigroup of embedding dimension two is Gorenstein. In a landmark result [He69, Theorem 4.2.1] of his 1969 thesis, Herzog showed that a numerical semigroup of embedding dimension three is Gorenstein if and only if it is a complete intersection. Later, Fröberg proved that the Cohen-Macaulay type of $k[S]$ is equal to the cardinality of the set of pseudo-Frobenius elements of $S$ (see [Fr94]).

Originally motivated by an interesting new class of numerical semigroups - called far-flung Gorenstein - introduced by Herzog-Kumashiro-Stamate in [HeKumSta], in joint work [BeDao] with Hailong Dao, we define the difference-Frobenius numbers of a numerical semigroup $S$ as

$$
\operatorname{DF}(S)=\{\mathrm{F}(S)-x \mid x \in \operatorname{PF}(S)\}
$$

where $\mathrm{F}(S)$ is the Frobenius number of $S$ and $\operatorname{PF}(S)$ is the set of pseudo-Frobenius numbers of $S$. We say that $S$ is divisive whenever 1 is a difference-Frobenius number. By definition, a divisive numerical semigroup is not symmetric. We find that a far-flung Gorenstein numerical semigroup is divisive, but the converse does not hold in general. It turns out that the divisive numerical semigroups that have certain simple or desirable properties can sometimes be described elegantly. Particularly, we determine completely those numerical semigroups generated by intervals that are divisive, and we show that a numerical semigroup of minimal multiplicity (i.e., maximal embedding dimension) is divisive if and only if its largest and second-largest generators differ by 1 . We exhibit a class of "sparse" numerical semigroups with embedding dimension four that are divisive. Ultimately, we ask the following.

Question 1.1. Other than numerical semigroups of minimal multiplicity and those that are generated by intervals, for what classes of "well-behaved" numerical semigroups is it tractable to completely determine the circumstances under which such a numerical semigroup is divisive?

Let $I$ be a subset of $\mathbb{Z}$. We say that $I$ is an ideal of $S$ if $I \supseteq S+I=\{s+i \mid s \in S$ and $i \in I\}$ and $s+I \subseteq S$ for some integer $s \in S$. Put another way, $I$ must be closed under addition by elements of $S$ and must admit a smallest element (i.e., a largest negative integer). We refer to a numerical semigroup $S$ with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{M}=S \backslash\{0\}$ and canonical ideal $\Omega=\{-n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash S\}$ as nearly Gorenstein if $\mathfrak{M} \subseteq \Omega+(S-\Omega)$ and almost Gorenstein if $\mathfrak{M}+\Omega=\mathfrak{M}$, where $S-\Omega=\{m \in \mathbb{Z} \mid m+\Omega \subseteq S\}$ is the ideal of differences between $S$ and $\Omega$. Observe that any almost Gorenstein numerical semigroup is nearly Gorenstein; the converse does not hold. Recent results of [MosStr] and [HeHiSta19, HeHiSta21] suggest an interesting connection between these classes of numerical semigroups and the trace ideal of the canonical module of the numerical semigroup ring $k \llbracket S \rrbracket$. Based on this, we define the blow-up of a numerical semigroup $S$ with respect to a proper ideal $I \subseteq S$ generated by $x_{1}<\cdots<x_{n}$ as

$$
B_{S}(I)=S+\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\left\langle x_{i}-x_{1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\rangle .
$$

We say that a numerical semigroup $S$ has the Gorenstein canonical blow-up (GCB) property whenever its canonical blow-up $B_{S}(\Omega)$ is symmetric. Our main theorem of [BeDao] demonstrates that many interesting classes of numerical semigroups exhibit the GCB property: indeed, Arf, far-flung Gorenstein, divisive, and numerical semigroups of multiplicity at most three are GCB. Even more, if $S$ has minimal multiplicity, then the GCB property is equivalent to the condition that $S$ is almost Gorenstein.
Question 1.2. For what other classes of numerical semigroups is it tractable to completely determine the circumstances under which such a numerical semigroup is GCB?

Even though numerical semigroups exhibit subtle behavior, they provide interesting research questions that are well-suited for undergraduate mathematics students. Concretely, students can readily run computations and experiment to form conjectures using the [GAP System] computer software. One immediate direction regarding Questions 1.1 and 1.2 is to investigate pinched discrete interval numerical semigroups, which are derived from numerical semigroups generated by intervals by removing some elements. Recently, the author has classified all pinched discrete interval numerical semigroups of the form $\langle n, n+1,2 n-2,2 n-1\rangle$ as symmetric, divisive, or neither (see [Be, Chapter 3, Section 5]).

Other than their extensive importance in commutative algebra, numerical semigroups find applications in algebraic coding theory and cryptography (see [CFM], [DelFG-SL13], and [DelFG-SL14]).

## 2 Properties of Stable and Trace Ideals of Curve Singularities

Commutative algebraists classify the "largeness" of a commutative unital local ring $R$ primarily through two invariants: depth and Krull dimension, denoted by $\operatorname{depth}(R)$ and $\operatorname{dim}(R)$, respectively. Briefly put, depth measures "homological largeness" and Krull dimension measures "topological largeness." If these two invariants coincide, i.e., $\operatorname{depth}(R)=\operatorname{dim}(R)$, we say that $R$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Vast amounts of research have been dedicated to the study of Cohen-Macaulay rings (see [ BrHe ]).

Gorenstein rings form a strict subclass of Cohen-Macaulay rings that have been studied extensively for decades (see [BrHe], [Fox], [HeKun], or [LeuWie]). Crucially, a Gorenstein local ring $R$ admits a canonical module $\omega_{R}$ that is "structurally equivalent" to $R$, i.e., we have that $\omega_{R} \cong R$ as $R$-modules. Even more, a canonical module of a Noetherian local ring ( $R, \mathfrak{m}$ ) behaves well with respect to the topological process of $\mathfrak{m}$-adic completion. Particularly, if we denote by $\widehat{R}$ the $\mathfrak{m}$-adic completion of $R$, we have that $\omega_{\widehat{R}} \cong \widehat{\omega_{R}}$ is a canonical module of the Noetherian local ring $(\widehat{R}, \mathfrak{m} \widehat{R})$. We say that $R$ is analytically unramified if $\widehat{R}$ is reduced, i.e., every power of a nonzero element of $\widehat{R}$ is nonzero.

We assume throughout this section that $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ is an analytically unramified one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring with infinite residue field $k$, total ring of fractions $Q(R)$, and integral closure $\bar{R}$. Under these conditions, it is known that $R$ possesses a canonical ideal $\omega_{R} \subseteq R$ (see [HeKun]) and every $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal of $R$ has a principal reduction (cf [HuSw, Proposition 8.3.7]). Based on recent work of Herzog, Stamate, et al. (see [HeHiSta19, HeHiSta21, HeKumSta]), the author has sought in
joint work with Hailong Dao to understand the role that the canonical ideal $\omega_{R}$ plays in determining the structure of $R$. Generally, our work aims to answer questions about when the canonical blow-up

$$
B\left(\omega_{R}\right)=\bigcup_{n \geq 0}\left(\omega_{R}^{n}: \omega_{R}^{n}\right)=\left\{\alpha \in Q(R) \mid \alpha \omega_{R}^{n} \subseteq \omega_{R}^{n}\right\}
$$

is Gorenstein, almost Gorenstein, or nearly Gorenstein, or satisfies that $B\left(\omega_{R}\right)=\bar{R}$ (see [BeDao]).
Using the properties of stable ideal theory outlined in the seminal work of Lipman [Lip] in conjunction with techniques related to trace ideals described in recent work of Dao [Dao21], Dao-Lindo [DaoLin], Dao-Maitra-Sridhar [DaoMaSr], and Kobayashi-Takahashi [KoTa], we have determined that the properties of $B\left(\omega_{R}\right)$ are intimately related to the behavior of sufficiently large powers of $\omega_{R}$ and their trace ideals. Particularly, the Gorensteinness of $B\left(\omega_{R}\right)$ is equivalent to any of the following.
(1.) There exists an $n \gg 0$ such that $\omega_{R}^{n} \cong\left(\omega_{R}^{n}\right)^{\vee}$, where $-{ }^{\vee}$ denotes the canonical dual of - .
(2.) There exists an $n \gg 0$ such that $\omega_{R}^{n}$ is self-dual.
(3.) There exists an $n \gg 0$ such that $\operatorname{tr}\left(\omega_{R}^{n}\right)$ is stable.

Further, we show that any Arf ring has the GCB property. Using the dual of the canonical blow-up $b\left(\omega_{R}\right)=\left(R: B\left(\omega_{R}\right)\right)$, we exhibit equivalent properties for $R$ to be almost Gorenstein. For instance, if $\mathfrak{m}$ is $\omega_{R}$-Ulrich or $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq b\left(\omega_{R}\right)$, then $R$ is almost Gorenstein; the converses hold, as well.

Extensive effort has been made jointly by Dao and the author toward the case that $R_{S}=k \llbracket S \rrbracket$ is the numerical semigroup ring corresponding to the numerical semigroup $S$. Observe that a numerical semigroup ring is a complete one-dimensional Noetherian local domain and hence Cohen-Macaulay. Further, by a result of Nagata in [ Na ], the integral closure of a numerical semigroup ring is modulefinite, hence the integral closure of $R_{S}$ is a module-finite birational extension of $R_{S}$. Using the methods described in the last two paragraphs of the previous section, there is an elegant description of the canonical blow-up of $R_{S}$ as an $R_{S}$-algebra whose generators are simply the difference-Frobenius numbers. Consequently, the properties of the canonical blow-up of $R_{S}$ are intimately related to the properties of the canonical blow-up of $S$. We show that the divisive numerical semigroup rings are a natural generalization of the far-flung Gorenstein numerical semigroup rings of Herzog-Kumashiro-Stamate.

## 3 Additive Number Theory, Sumsets, and Monomial Subrings

Consider a nonempty (not necessarily finite) subset $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. We say that $S$ is Sidon if for every pair of non-negative integers $i \leq j$, the sum $s_{i}+s_{j}$ of the elements $s_{i}, s_{j} \in S$ is unique. Put another way, there do not exist distinct pairs of integers $i \leq j$ and $i^{\prime} \leq j^{\prime}$ such that $s_{i}+s_{j}=s_{i^{\prime}}+s_{j^{\prime}}$ for some elements $s_{i}, s_{j}, s_{i^{\prime}}, s_{j^{\prime}} \in S$. Originally introduced by Simon Sidon in his study of Fourier series, Sidon sets attracted significant interest in the field of additive number theory after a result of Erdös and Turán showed that for every real number $x>0$, the number of elements of a Sidon set that do not exceed $x$ is at most $\sqrt[4]{x}+O(\sqrt[4]{x})$ (see [ErdTu]). Even now, it remains an open problem to determine the maximum number of elements not exceeding a real number $x>0$ that a Sidon set can contain.

One may consider the question of Sidon as to how "dense" a Sidon set can be if its largest element does not exceed some real number $x>0$. Conversely, given a positive integer $m$, one may ask the question of how "sparse" a set can be such that the $n$-fold sum of its elements achieves a maximum value of $m$. Colloquially, this is known as the Postage Stamp Problem due to the following interpretation: if an envelope affords enough space for $n$ stamps and there are $k$ denominations of stamps available, what is the maximum cost of postage $m$ such that any letter of cost $0,1, \ldots, m$ can be mailed?

Given any nonempty subset $A$ of non-negative integers, we define the $n$-fold sum of $A$ as

$$
n A=\underbrace{A+\cdots+A}_{n \text { summands }}=\left\{a_{1}+\cdots+a_{n} \mid a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in A\right\}
$$

and we denote by $[m]=\{0,1, \ldots, m\}$ the discrete interval $[0, m] \cap \mathbb{Z}$. Considered the first to state the Postage Stamp Problem, Rohrbach defined the invariants $m(n, A)=\max \{m:\{0,1, \ldots, m\} \subseteq n A\}$ and $m(n, k)=\max \{m(n, A):|A|=k\}$ in his seminal 1937 paper [Roh]. Even though it is relatively simple to state, it has been shown that the computational complexity of the problem is exponential in both $n$ and $k$, and there remain many open questions regarding $m(n, k)$ (see [AlBa]).

One particular case of the Postage Stamp Problem centers around the notion of a complete double. Consider any nonempty subset $A \subseteq[a]$. We say that $A$ is a complete double of $[a]$ if $2 A=[2 a]$. Clearly, every discrete interval $[a]$ is a complete double of itself, hence one might ask for the least cardinality $\mu(a)=\min \{|A|: 2 A=[2 a]\}$ of $A$ such that $A$ is a complete double of $a$. Based on the discussion to a post by Hailong Dao on MathOverflow (see [Dao19]), the author has established mild bounds for $\mu(a)$ in $[\mathrm{Be}$, Chapter 5, Section 2]. Further, in the same section, the author has defined the regularity $\operatorname{reg}(A)=\inf \{n \mid n A=[n a]\}$ of $A$ and exhibited necessary and sufficient conditions to guarantee that $\operatorname{reg}(A)$ is finite. Even still, questions remain regarding an explicit connection between $|A|$ and $\operatorname{reg}(A)$.
Question 3.1. Is there an elegant relationship between $|A|$ and $\operatorname{reg}(A)$ ?
Question 3.2. What are the bounds on $|A|$ such that $\operatorname{reg}(A)=3$, i.e., $A$ is a complete triple of $[a]$ ?
Question 3.3. Is there a sharper bound between $\operatorname{reg}(A)$ and $a-2=\max \{\operatorname{reg}(A): \operatorname{reg}(A)<\infty\}$ ?
Curiously, the theory of complete doubles has interesting and important applications in homological algebra. Given any subset $\mathcal{A} \subseteq[a]$ that contains $\{0,1, a-1, a\}$, we may define the monomial subring

$$
k[x, y]^{(\mathcal{A})}=k\left[x^{i} y^{a-i} \mid i \in \mathcal{A}\right] \subseteq k[x, y] .
$$

Crucially, the homological properties of $k[x, y]^{(\mathcal{A})}$ are intimately connected with the number-theoretic properties of $\mathcal{A}$. We have computed in [Be, Chapter 5, Section 3] the Hilbert series, (Hilbert-Samuel) multiplicity, and (Castelnuovo-Mumford) regularity of $k[x, y]^{(\mathcal{A})}$ in terms of the invariants of $\mathcal{A}$; thus, we have reduced sophisticated and important algebraic questions to a more tractable setting of additive number theory in which the techniques involved in many of the underlying proofs and computations are viable to any undergraduate mathematics student with a basic understanding of calculus.

Conversely, planar additive bases are closely related to the study of complete doubles (see [KKR]) and enjoy applications in signal processing and active imaging (see [HoKa] and [KoKa]). Consequently, we are afforded algebraic techniques to solve an inherently number-theoretic optimization problem.

## 4 Combinatorial Commutative Algebra and Stanley-Reisner Theory

We say that a pair $G=(V, E)$ consisting of sets $V$ of vertices and $E \subseteq V \times V$ of edges is a finite simple graph whenever $V$ consists of finitely many elements and $E$ does not contain any loops or multiple edges. Loops are pairs of vertices of the form $(v, v)$, and an edge $(v, w)$ is called a multiple edge if $(w, v)$ is also an edge. Conventionally, we assume that the $n$ vertices of $G$ are the positive integers 1 through $n$, i.e., $V=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. We say that a nonempty collection of vertices $V^{\prime}$ constitutes an independent vertex set if for any two vertices $i, j \in V^{\prime}$, we have that $(i, j)$ is not an edge of $G$.

Generalizing the notion of a finite simple graph is that of a simplicial complex $\Delta$. We say that $\Delta$ is a simplicial complex on the vertex set $[n]=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ if $\Delta$ is a nonempty subset of $2^{[n]}$ such that for every pair of subsets $\sigma, \tau \in 2^{[n]}$ with $\tau \subseteq \sigma$, if $\sigma$ belongs to $\Delta$, then $\tau$ belongs to $\Delta$. Put another way, $\Delta$ must be closed with respect to the operation of taking subsets. We point out that some familiar geometric objects - such as line segments, triangles, and tetrahedra - are simplicial complexes.

Every finite simple graph on $n$ vertices gives rise to a quotient of the polynomial ring in $n$ variables. Explicitly, for a field $k$, a finite simple graph $G=(V, E)$ on $n$ vertices can be related to the quotient ring $k(G)=k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] / I(G)$ by the squarefree monomial ideal $I(G)=\left(x_{i} x_{j} \mid(i, j) \in E\right)$. We refer to $I(G)$ as the edge ideal of $G$ and to $k(G)$ as the edge ring of $G$. Likewise, every simplicial complex on $n$ vertices gives rise to a quotient of the polynomial ring in $n$ variables. For simplicity, we use the same residue field. Explicitly, we define the Stanley-Reisner ring $k[\Delta]=k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] / I_{\Delta}$, where

$$
I_{\Delta}=\left(x_{i_{1}} x_{i_{2}} \cdots x_{i_{k}} \mid\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\} \subseteq 2^{[n]} \backslash \Delta\right)
$$

is the Stanley-Reisner ideal of $k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ generated by the monomials corresponding to subsets of $2^{[n]}$ that do not belong to $\Delta$. Often, the elements of $\Delta$ are referred to as faces, hence $I_{\Delta}$ is generated by monomials corresponding to non-faces of $\Delta$. We do not assume that all of the integers of $[n]$ correspond to vertices of $\Delta$, hence it is possible that $x_{i}$ belongs to $I_{\Delta}$ for some integer $1 \leq i \leq n$ so that $k[\Delta]$ is a quotient of the polynomial ring in fewer than $n$ elements. We recall that the set $\Delta_{G}$ consisting of the independent vertex sets of $G$ is a simplicial complex, eponymously called the independence complex of $G$. One can show that the edge ideal of $G$ and the Stanley-Reisner ideal of $\Delta_{G}$ are equal, hence we have that $k(G)=k\left[\Delta_{G}\right]$ (see [MooRogSa-Wa, Theorem 4.4.9]). Consequently, the so-called Stanley-Reisner theory can be employed to understand properties of the edge ring $k[G]$ and vice-versa.

Recently, the author introduced in joint work [BeDey] with Souvik Dey the following pair of new invariants $\mathrm{ms}(R)$ and $\mathrm{cs}(R)$ of a Noetherian (standard graded) local ring ( $R, \mathfrak{m}, k$ ).

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{cs}(R) & =\min \left\{\operatorname{dim}_{k}(I / \mathfrak{m} I) \mid I \subseteq \mathfrak{m} \text { is a (homogeneous) ideal of } R \text { such that } \mathfrak{m}^{2}=I^{2}\right\} \\
\operatorname{ms}(R) & =\min \left\{\operatorname{dim}_{k}(I / \mathfrak{m} I) \mid I \subseteq \mathfrak{m} \text { is a (homogeneous) ideal of } R \text { such that } \mathfrak{m}^{2} \subseteq I\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Particularly, we sought to understand these invariants for the edge ring $k(G)$ of a finite simple graph $G$ and a fixed field $k$. Conventionally, we write $\operatorname{ms}(G)$ and $\operatorname{cs}(G)$ for $\mathrm{ms}(k(G))$ and $\operatorname{cs}(k(G))$, respectively. Even though we can compute $\mathrm{ms}(R)$ and $\operatorname{cs}(R)$ in some cases, it turns out that these invariants behave in a surprisingly subtle manner in the setting of edge rings of finite simple graphs. We proved that

$$
\operatorname{ms}\left(K_{n}\right)=1 \text { and } \operatorname{cs}\left(K_{n}\right)=\left\lceil\sqrt{2 n+\frac{1}{4}}-\frac{1}{2}\right\rceil
$$

for the complete graph $K_{n}$ on $n$ vertices and that $\operatorname{ms}\left(S_{n}\right)=n-1$ and $\operatorname{cs}\left(S_{n}\right)=n$ for the star graph $S_{n}$ on $n$ vertices. Consequently, we believe that $\operatorname{ms}(G)$ and $\operatorname{cs}(G)$ are determined in some way by the "connectivity" and number of edges of $G$; the exact relationship is as yet undetermined.

Crucially, we have illustrated that $\mathrm{ms}(G)$ does not change with respect to adding a cone vertex to $G$ (i.e., taking the graph join of $G$ with a new vertex), hence it suffices to study $\operatorname{ms}(G)$ in the case that $G$ has diameter two. We have found along these lines that if $G$ admits a "sufficiently connected" edge cover by $\ell$ edges, then $\operatorname{ms}(G) \leq \ell$; however, it is unclear if every finite simple graph of diameter two admits such an edge cover. Our future work will therefore concern the following two questions.
Question 4.1. Can we compute $\mathrm{ms}(G)$ and $\operatorname{cs}(G)$ for many classes of familiar graphs? Bounds have been given for (a.) the path graph $P_{n}$, (b.) the cycle graph $C_{n}$, and (c.) the wheel graph $W_{n}$, but in each case, the exact value of one (or both) of $\mathrm{ms}(G)$ or $\mathrm{cs}(G)$ has not been found.
Question 4.2. If $G$ is a finite simple graph of diameter two, then must it admit an edge cover that is $K_{\ell}$-connected for some integer $\ell \geq 1$ (see [BeDey, Definition 6.27])? In particular, can any edge cover of $G$ be "amended" to an edge cover that is $K_{\ell}$-connected? By what process, if possible?

One other interesting graphical invariant arises in the context of Gaussian graphical models that enjoy applications in computational biology and sociology (see [XLV] and [HL], respectively). We will assume toward this end that $\mathbf{X}=\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right)$ is an $m$-dimensional random vector with multivariate
normal (or Gaussian) distribution $X \sim \mathcal{N}_{m}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$, where $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ is an $m \times m$ positive-semidefinite covariance matrix. Consider the finite simple graph $G$ with vertices $[m]$ and edges $\{i, j\}$ if and only if the random variables $X_{i}$ and $X_{j}$ are conditionally dependent given all other random variables (see [Uhl, Corollary $2.2]$ ). By the paragraph following [GroSul, Problem 1.2], the maximum likelihood threshold is given by

$$
\operatorname{mlt}(G)=\min \{\# \text { i.i.d. samples } \mid \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \text { exists with probability one }\} .
$$

By [BeDey, Proposition 7.2], the author has demonstrated that $\operatorname{ms}(\mathbb{R}(G))=\operatorname{mlt}(\bar{G})$ if
(1.) $\bar{G}$ is chordal;
(2.) $\bar{G}$ is complete;
(3.) $G$ is complete; or
(4.) $\bar{G}$ has no induced cycles (i.e., $\bar{G}$ is a tree).

Question 4.3. Let $G$ be the finite simple graph corresponding to an $m$-dimensional Gaussian random vector. Let $I(G)$ be the edge ideal of $G$ in $\mathbb{R}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right]$. Does it hold that $\mathrm{ms}(\mathbb{R}(G))=\operatorname{mlt}(\bar{G})$ ?

Graph theory enjoys delightful applications in biology, chemistry, and computer science that attract students who are interested in applied mathematics. Likewise, questions such as those mentioned above entice students who aspire to a career in pure mathematics by interpolating techniques from discrete mathematics, linear algebra, and ring theory. Graph theory also affords the use of diagrams in tandem with computer software to carry out experiments that allow students to form and test conjectures; as such, Questions 4.1 and 4.2 provide excellent opportunities for graduate and undergraduate research.
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