

# Canonical Blow-Up of One-Dimensional Singularities

Dylan C. Beck (with Hailong Dao)

University of Kansas

21 November 2021

# Definitions and Notation

We will assume throughout this talk that  $R$  is a commutative unital ring with multiplicative identity  $1$  and Krull dimension  $\dim(R)$ .

# Definitions and Notation

We will assume throughout this talk that  $R$  is a commutative unital ring with multiplicative identity 1 and Krull dimension  $\dim(R)$ .

We will denote by  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  the Noetherian local ring  $R$  with unique maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  and residue field  $k$ .

# Definitions and Notation

We will assume throughout this talk that  $R$  is a commutative unital ring with multiplicative identity 1 and Krull dimension  $\dim(R)$ .

We will denote by  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  the Noetherian local ring  $R$  with unique maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  and residue field  $k$ . We have that

$$\text{depth}(R) = \inf\{j \geq 0 \mid \text{Ext}_R^j(k, R) \neq 0\}.$$

# Definitions and Notation

We will assume throughout this talk that  $R$  is a commutative unital ring with multiplicative identity 1 and Krull dimension  $\dim(R)$ .

We will denote by  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  the Noetherian local ring  $R$  with unique maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  and residue field  $k$ . We have that

$$\text{depth}(R) = \inf\{j \geq 0 \mid \text{Ext}_R^j(k, R) \neq 0\}.$$

We say that a Noetherian local ring  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  is *Cohen-Macaulay* if its depth and Krull dimension coincide, i.e.,  $\text{depth}(R) = \dim(R)$ .

# Definitions and Notation

We will assume throughout this talk that  $R$  is a commutative unital ring with multiplicative identity 1 and Krull dimension  $\dim(R)$ .

We will denote by  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  the Noetherian local ring  $R$  with unique maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  and residue field  $k$ . We have that

$$\text{depth}(R) = \inf\{j \geq 0 \mid \text{Ext}_R^j(k, R) \neq 0\}.$$

We say that a Noetherian local ring  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  is *Cohen-Macaulay* if its depth and Krull dimension coincide, i.e.,  $\text{depth}(R) = \dim(R)$ . We refer to  $\text{type}(R) = \dim_k(\text{Ext}_R^{\text{depth}(R)}(k, R))$  as the *Cohen-Macaulay type* of  $R$ .

# Definitions and Notation

We will assume throughout this talk that  $R$  is a commutative unital ring with multiplicative identity 1 and Krull dimension  $\dim(R)$ .

We will denote by  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  the Noetherian local ring  $R$  with unique maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  and residue field  $k$ . We have that

$$\text{depth}(R) = \inf\{j \geq 0 \mid \text{Ext}_R^j(k, R) \neq 0\}.$$

We say that a Noetherian local ring  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  is *Cohen-Macaulay* if its depth and Krull dimension coincide, i.e.,  $\text{depth}(R) = \dim(R)$ . We refer to  $\text{type}(R) = \dim_k(\text{Ext}_R^{\text{depth}(R)}(k, R))$  as the *Cohen-Macaulay type* of  $R$ .

We say that a Cohen-Macaulay local ring  $R$  is *Gorenstein* if  $\text{type}(R) = 1$ .

# Definitions and Notation

We say that a finitely generated module  $\omega_R$  over a Noetherian local ring  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  is a *canonical module* of  $R$  provided that



# Definitions and Notation

We say that a finitely generated module  $\omega_R$  over a Noetherian local ring  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  is a *canonical module* of  $R$  provided that

- $\omega_R$  has Cohen-Macaulay type 1, i.e.,  $\dim_k(\mathrm{Ext}_R^{\mathrm{depth}(\omega_R)}(k, \omega_R)) = 1$ ;

# Definitions and Notation

We say that a finitely generated module  $\omega_R$  over a Noetherian local ring  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  is a *canonical module* of  $R$  provided that

1.  $\omega_R$  has Cohen-Macaulay type 1, i.e.,  $\dim_k(\text{Ext}_R^{\text{depth}(\omega_R)}(k, \omega_R)) = 1$ ;
2.  $\omega_R$  is *maximal Cohen-Macaulay*, i.e.,  $\text{depth}(\omega_R) = \dim(R)$ ; and

# Definitions and Notation

We say that a finitely generated module  $\omega_R$  over a Noetherian local ring  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  is a *canonical module* of  $R$  provided that

1.  $\omega_R$  has Cohen-Macaulay type 1, i.e.,  $\dim_k(\text{Ext}_R^{\text{depth}(\omega_R)}(k, \omega_R)) = 1$ ;
2.  $\omega_R$  is *maximal Cohen-Macaulay*, i.e.,  $\text{depth}(\omega_R) = \dim(R)$ ; and
3.  $\omega_R$  has finite injective dimension as an  $R$ -module.

# Definitions and Notation

We say that a finitely generated module  $\omega_R$  over a Noetherian local ring  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  is a *canonical module* of  $R$  provided that

1.  $\omega_R$  has Cohen-Macaulay type 1, i.e.,  $\dim_k(\text{Ext}_R^{\text{depth}(\omega_R)}(k, \omega_R)) = 1$ ;
2.  $\omega_R$  is *maximal Cohen-Macaulay*, i.e.,  $\text{depth}(\omega_R) = \dim(R)$ ; and
3.  $\omega_R$  has finite injective dimension as an  $R$ -module.

A Cohen-Macaulay local ring  $R$  is Gorenstein if and only if  $R$  admits a canonical module  $\omega_R$  and  $\omega_R \cong R$  as  $R$ -modules.

# Definitions and Notation

We say that a finitely generated module  $\omega_R$  over a Noetherian local ring  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  is a *canonical module* of  $R$  provided that

1.  $\omega_R$  has Cohen-Macaulay type 1, i.e.,  $\dim_k(\mathrm{Ext}_R^{\mathrm{depth}(\omega_R)}(k, \omega_R)) = 1$ ;
2.  $\omega_R$  is *maximal Cohen-Macaulay*, i.e.,  $\mathrm{depth}(\omega_R) = \dim(R)$ ; and
3.  $\omega_R$  has finite injective dimension as an  $R$ -module.

A Cohen-Macaulay local ring  $R$  is Gorenstein if and only if  $R$  admits a canonical module  $\omega_R$  and  $\omega_R \cong R$  as  $R$ -modules.

For an  $R$ -module  $M$ , we will denote by  $M^* = \mathrm{Hom}_R(M, R)$  the *dual* of  $M$  and by  $M^\vee = \mathrm{Hom}_R(M, \omega_R)$  the *canonical dual* of  $M$ .

# Definitions and Notation

We say that a nonzero element  $x \in R$  is a *non-zero divisor* if the multiplication map  $R \rightarrow R$  defined by  $r \mapsto xr$  is injective.

# Definitions and Notation

We say that a nonzero element  $x \in R$  is a *non-zero divisor* if the multiplication map  $R \rightarrow R$  defined by  $r \mapsto xr$  is injective. We define the *total ring of fractions*  $Q(R) = S^{-1}R$ , where  $S$  is the multiplicatively closed subset of  $R$  consisting of all non-zero divisors of  $R$ .

# Definitions and Notation

We say that a nonzero element  $x \in R$  is a *non-zero divisor* if the multiplication map  $R \rightarrow R$  defined by  $r \mapsto xr$  is injective. We define the *total ring of fractions*  $Q(R) = S^{-1}R$ , where  $S$  is the multiplicatively closed subset of  $R$  consisting of all non-zero divisors of  $R$ .

We say that a ring extension  $R \subseteq S$  is *birational* if  $S \subseteq Q(R)$ .



# Definitions and Notation

We say that a nonzero element  $x \in R$  is a *non-zero divisor* if the multiplication map  $R \rightarrow R$  defined by  $r \mapsto xr$  is injective. We define the *total ring of fractions*  $Q(R) = S^{-1}R$ , where  $S$  is the multiplicatively closed subset of  $R$  consisting of all non-zero divisors of  $R$ .

We say that a ring extension  $R \subseteq S$  is *birational* if  $S \subseteq Q(R)$ .

Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. If  $M \otimes_R Q(R)$  is a free  $Q(R)$ -module, then the *rank* of  $M$  is the number of summands of  $Q(R)$  appearing in  $M \otimes_R Q(R)$ ;

# Definitions and Notation

We say that a nonzero element  $x \in R$  is a *non-zero divisor* if the multiplication map  $R \rightarrow R$  defined by  $r \mapsto xr$  is injective. We define the *total ring of fractions*  $Q(R) = S^{-1}R$ , where  $S$  is the multiplicatively closed subset of  $R$  consisting of all non-zero divisors of  $R$ .

We say that a ring extension  $R \subseteq S$  is *birational* if  $S \subseteq Q(R)$ .

Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. If  $M \otimes_R Q(R)$  is a free  $Q(R)$ -module, then the *rank* of  $M$  is the number of summands of  $Q(R)$  appearing in  $M \otimes_R Q(R)$ ; otherwise, we say that  $M$  does not have a rank.

# Definitions and Notation

We say that a nonzero element  $x \in R$  is a *non-zero divisor* if the multiplication map  $R \rightarrow R$  defined by  $r \mapsto xr$  is injective. We define the *total ring of fractions*  $Q(R) = S^{-1}R$ , where  $S$  is the multiplicatively closed subset of  $R$  consisting of all non-zero divisors of  $R$ .

We say that a ring extension  $R \subseteq S$  is *birational* if  $S \subseteq Q(R)$ .

Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. If  $M \otimes_R Q(R)$  is a free  $Q(R)$ -module, then the *rank* of  $M$  is the number of summands of  $Q(R)$  appearing in  $M \otimes_R Q(R)$ ; otherwise, we say that  $M$  does not have a rank. If  $R$  is a domain, every nonzero element of  $R$  is a non-zero divisor, hence  $Q(R)$  is a field, and the rank of an  $R$ -module is nothing but its dimension as a  $Q(R)$ -vector space.

# Definitions and Notation

Let  $\bar{R}$  denote the integral closure of  $R$ , i.e.,

$$\bar{R} = \{\alpha \in Q(R) \mid \alpha^n + r_1\alpha^{n-1} + \cdots + r_n = 0 \text{ for some } r_1, \dots, r_n \in R\}.$$

# Definitions and Notation

Let  $\bar{R}$  denote the integral closure of  $R$ , i.e.,

$$\bar{R} = \{\alpha \in Q(R) \mid \alpha^n + r_1\alpha^{n-1} + \cdots + r_n = 0 \text{ for some } r_1, \dots, r_n \in R\}.$$

We note that  $\bar{R}$  is a birational ring extension of  $R$  with  $\dim(R) = \dim(\bar{R})$ .

# Definitions and Notation

Let  $\bar{R}$  denote the integral closure of  $R$ , i.e.,

$$\bar{R} = \{\alpha \in Q(R) \mid \alpha^n + r_1\alpha^{n-1} + \cdots + r_n = 0 \text{ for some } r_1, \dots, r_n \in R\}.$$

We note that  $\bar{R}$  is a birational ring extension of  $R$  with  $\dim(R) = \dim(\bar{R})$ .

We define the *conductor*  $(R : \bar{R}) = \{\alpha \in Q(R) \mid \alpha\bar{R} \subseteq R\}$  of  $R$ .

# Definitions and Notation

Let  $\bar{R}$  denote the integral closure of  $R$ , i.e.,

$$\bar{R} = \{\alpha \in Q(R) \mid \alpha^n + r_1\alpha^{n-1} + \cdots + r_n = 0 \text{ for some } r_1, \dots, r_n \in R\}.$$

We note that  $\bar{R}$  is a birational ring extension of  $R$  with  $\dim(R) = \dim(\bar{R})$ .

We define the *conductor*  $(R : \bar{R}) = \{\alpha \in Q(R) \mid \alpha\bar{R} \subseteq R\}$  of  $R$ . Observe that  $(R : \bar{R})$  is an ideal of both  $R$  and  $Q(R)$ .

# Definitions and Notation

Let  $R$  be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring that admits a canonical module  $\omega_R$ . We say that  $R$  is *generically Gorenstein* if  $R_P$  is Gorenstein for every minimal prime  $P$  of  $R$ .



# Definitions and Notation

Let  $R$  be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring that admits a canonical module  $\omega_R$ . We say that  $R$  is *generically Gorenstein* if  $R_P$  is Gorenstein for every minimal prime  $P$  of  $R$ . The following properties are equivalent.

# Definitions and Notation

Let  $R$  be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring that admits a canonical module  $\omega_R$ . We say that  $R$  is *generically Gorenstein* if  $R_P$  is Gorenstein for every minimal prime  $P$  of  $R$ . The following properties are equivalent.

- 1.  $\omega_R$  has a rank.

# Definitions and Notation

Let  $R$  be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring that admits a canonical module  $\omega_R$ . We say that  $R$  is *generically Gorenstein* if  $R_P$  is Gorenstein for every minimal prime  $P$  of  $R$ . The following properties are equivalent.

1.  $\omega_R$  has a rank.
2. The rank of  $\omega_R$  is 1.

# Definitions and Notation

Let  $R$  be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring that admits a canonical module  $\omega_R$ . We say that  $R$  is *generically Gorenstein* if  $R_P$  is Gorenstein for every minimal prime  $P$  of  $R$ . The following properties are equivalent.

1.  $\omega_R$  has a rank.
2. The rank of  $\omega_R$  is 1.
3.  $R$  is generically Gorenstein.

# Definitions and Notation

Let  $R$  be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring that admits a canonical module  $\omega_R$ . We say that  $R$  is *generically Gorenstein* if  $R_P$  is Gorenstein for every minimal prime  $P$  of  $R$ . The following properties are equivalent.

1.  $\omega_R$  has a rank.
2. The rank of  $\omega_R$  is 1.
3.  $R$  is generically Gorenstein.

If any one of the above conditions holds, then  $\omega_R$  can be identified with an ideal of  $R$  that is either equal to  $R$  or has height 1.

# Definitions and Notation

Let  $R$  be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring that admits a canonical module  $\omega_R$ . We say that  $R$  is *generically Gorenstein* if  $R_P$  is Gorenstein for every minimal prime  $P$  of  $R$ . The following properties are equivalent.

1.  $\omega_R$  has a rank.
2. The rank of  $\omega_R$  is 1.
3.  $R$  is generically Gorenstein.

If any one of the above conditions holds, then  $\omega_R$  can be identified with an ideal of  $R$  that is either equal to  $R$  or has height 1.

Conversely, if  $R$  is a one-dimensional Noetherian ring that admits a canonical ideal  $\omega_R$ , then  $R$  is generically Gorenstein.

# Definitions and Notation

Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Noetherian local ring. We denote by  $\widehat{R}$  the  $\mathfrak{m}$ -adic completion of  $R$ .

# Definitions and Notation

Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Noetherian local ring. We denote by  $\widehat{R}$  the  $\mathfrak{m}$ -adic completion of  $R$ . We say that  $R$  is *analytically unramified* if  $\widehat{R}$  is reduced, i.e., if there are no nonzero nilpotent element of  $R$ .



# Definitions and Notation

Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Noetherian local ring. We denote by  $\widehat{R}$  the  $\mathfrak{m}$ -adic completion of  $R$ . We say that  $R$  is *analytically unramified* if  $\widehat{R}$  is *reduced*, i.e., if there are no nonzero nilpotent element of  $R$ .

By a theorem of Herzog and Kunz, if  $R$  is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension one, then the following properties are equivalent.

Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Noetherian local ring. We denote by  $\widehat{R}$  the  $\mathfrak{m}$ -adic completion of  $R$ . We say that  $R$  is *analytically unramified* if  $\widehat{R}$  is *reduced*, i.e., if there are no nonzero nilpotent element of  $R$ .

By a theorem of Herzog and Kunz, if  $R$  is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension one, then the following properties are equivalent.

1.  $Q(\widehat{R})$  is Gorenstein.

Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Noetherian local ring. We denote by  $\widehat{R}$  the  $\mathfrak{m}$ -adic completion of  $R$ . We say that  $R$  is *analytically unramified* if  $\widehat{R}$  is *reduced*, i.e., if there are no nonzero nilpotent element of  $R$ .

By a theorem of Herzog and Kunz, if  $R$  is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension one, then the following properties are equivalent.

1.  $Q(\widehat{R})$  is Gorenstein.
2.  $R$  admits a canonical ideal  $\omega_R$ .

Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Noetherian local ring. We denote by  $\widehat{R}$  the  $\mathfrak{m}$ -adic completion of  $R$ . We say that  $R$  is *analytically unramified* if  $\widehat{R}$  is *reduced*, i.e., if there are no nonzero nilpotent element of  $R$ .

By a theorem of Herzog and Kunz, if  $R$  is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension one, then the following properties are equivalent.

1.  $Q(\widehat{R})$  is Gorenstein.
2.  $R$  admits a canonical ideal  $\omega_R$ .

Particularly, an analytically unramified ring admits a canonical ideal.

# Definitions and Notation

We refer to  $\ell_R(R/I)$  as the *colength* of an ideal  $I$  of  $R$ .

# Definitions and Notation

We refer to  $\ell_R(R/I)$  as the *colength* of an ideal  $I$  of  $R$ . We say that  $I$  is *regular* if it contains a non-zero divisor of  $R$ .

# Definitions and Notation

We refer to  $\ell_R(R/I)$  as the *colength* of an ideal  $I$  of  $R$ . We say that  $I$  is *regular* if it contains a non-zero divisor of  $R$ . Every regular ideal of a one-dimensional Noetherian ring has finite colength.

# Definitions and Notation

We refer to  $\ell_R(R/I)$  as the *colength* of an ideal  $I$  of  $R$ . We say that  $I$  is *regular* if it contains a non-zero divisor of  $R$ . Every regular ideal of a one-dimensional Noetherian ring has finite colength. Explicitly, if  $I$  contains a non-zero divisor  $x$ , then  $\dim(R/xR) = 0$ ;  $R/xR$  is Artinian; and  $\ell_R(R/xR)$  is finite.



# Definitions and Notation

We refer to  $\ell_R(R/I)$  as the *colength* of an ideal  $I$  of  $R$ . We say that  $I$  is *regular* if it contains a non-zero divisor of  $R$ . Every regular ideal of a one-dimensional Noetherian ring has finite colength. Explicitly, if  $I$  contains a non-zero divisor  $x$ , then  $\dim(R/xR) = 0$ ;  $R/xR$  is Artinian; and  $\ell_R(R/xR)$  is finite. Length is additive on short exact sequences, and  $R/I$  is the homomorphic image of  $R/xR$ , hence  $\ell_R(R/I)$  is finite.

# Definitions and Notation

We refer to  $\ell_R(R/I)$  as the *colength* of an ideal  $I$  of  $R$ . We say that  $I$  is *regular* if it contains a non-zero divisor of  $R$ . Every regular ideal of a one-dimensional Noetherian ring has finite colength. Explicitly, if  $I$  contains a non-zero divisor  $x$ , then  $\dim(R/xR) = 0$ ;  $R/xR$  is Artinian; and  $\ell_R(R/xR)$  is finite. Length is additive on short exact sequences, and  $R/I$  is the homomorphic image of  $R/xR$ , hence  $\ell_R(R/I)$  is finite.

Let  $R$  be a one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring. If  $R$  admits a canonical ideal  $\omega_R$ , then  $R$  is generically Gorenstein, hence  $\omega_{R_P} \cong R_P$  is a free  $R_P$ -module of rank 1 for each minimal prime  $P$  of  $R$ .

# Definitions and Notation

We refer to  $\ell_R(R/I)$  as the *colength* of an ideal  $I$  of  $R$ . We say that  $I$  is *regular* if it contains a non-zero divisor of  $R$ . Every regular ideal of a one-dimensional Noetherian ring has finite colength. Explicitly, if  $I$  contains a non-zero divisor  $x$ , then  $\dim(R/xR) = 0$ ;  $R/xR$  is Artinian; and  $\ell_R(R/xR)$  is finite. Length is additive on short exact sequences, and  $R/I$  is the homomorphic image of  $R/xR$ , hence  $\ell_R(R/I)$  is finite.

Let  $R$  be a one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring. If  $R$  admits a canonical ideal  $\omega_R$ , then  $R$  is generically Gorenstein, hence  $\omega_{R_P} \cong R_P$  is a free  $R_P$ -module of rank 1 for each minimal prime  $P$  of  $R$ . Because  $R$  is Cohen-Macaulay, the minimal primes of  $R$  coincide with the associated primes of  $R$  so that  $\omega_R \not\subseteq P$  for any associated prime  $P$  of  $R$ .

# Definitions and Notation

We refer to  $\ell_R(R/I)$  as the *colength* of an ideal  $I$  of  $R$ . We say that  $I$  is *regular* if it contains a non-zero divisor of  $R$ . Every regular ideal of a one-dimensional Noetherian ring has finite colength. Explicitly, if  $I$  contains a non-zero divisor  $x$ , then  $\dim(R/xR) = 0$ ;  $R/xR$  is Artinian; and  $\ell_R(R/xR)$  is finite. Length is additive on short exact sequences, and  $R/I$  is the homomorphic image of  $R/xR$ , hence  $\ell_R(R/I)$  is finite.

Let  $R$  be a one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring. If  $R$  admits a canonical ideal  $\omega_R$ , then  $R$  is generically Gorenstein, hence  $\omega_{R_P} \cong R_P$  is a free  $R_P$ -module of rank 1 for each minimal prime  $P$  of  $R$ . Because  $R$  is Cohen-Macaulay, the minimal primes of  $R$  coincide with the associated primes of  $R$  so that  $\omega_R \not\subseteq P$  for any associated prime  $P$  of  $R$ . By the Prime Avoidance Lemma,  $\omega_R$  is regular.

# Definitions and Notation

We refer to  $\ell_R(R/I)$  as the *colength* of an ideal  $I$  of  $R$ . We say that  $I$  is *regular* if it contains a non-zero divisor of  $R$ . Every regular ideal of a one-dimensional Noetherian ring has finite colength. Explicitly, if  $I$  contains a non-zero divisor  $x$ , then  $\dim(R/xR) = 0$ ;  $R/xR$  is Artinian; and  $\ell_R(R/xR)$  is finite. Length is additive on short exact sequences, and  $R/I$  is the homomorphic image of  $R/xR$ , hence  $\ell_R(R/I)$  is finite.

Let  $R$  be a one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring. If  $R$  admits a canonical ideal  $\omega_R$ , then  $R$  is generically Gorenstein, hence  $\omega_{R_P} \cong R_P$  is a free  $R_P$ -module of rank 1 for each minimal prime  $P$  of  $R$ . Because  $R$  is Cohen-Macaulay, the minimal primes of  $R$  coincide with the associated primes of  $R$  so that  $\omega_R \not\subseteq P$  for any associated prime  $P$  of  $R$ . By the Prime Avoidance Lemma,  $\omega_R$  is regular. Particularly,  $\omega_R$  has finite colength.

# Definitions and Notation

Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Noetherian local ring. We say that an ideal  $I$  is  $\mathfrak{m}$ -*primary* if there exists an integer  $n \gg 0$  such that  $\mathfrak{m}^n \subseteq I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ .

# Definitions and Notation

Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Noetherian local ring. We say that an ideal  $I$  is  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary if there exists an integer  $n \gg 0$  such that  $\mathfrak{m}^n \subseteq I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ . Crucially, the ideals of finite colength of  $R$  coincide with the  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideals of  $R$ .

# Definitions and Notation

Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Noetherian local ring. We say that an ideal  $I$  is  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary if there exists an integer  $n \gg 0$  such that  $\mathfrak{m}^n \subseteq I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ . Crucially, the ideals of finite colength of  $R$  coincide with the  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideals of  $R$ . Explicitly, we have that  $\ell_R(R/I)$  is finite if and only if  $\mathfrak{m}^n(R/I) = 0$  for some integer  $n \gg 0$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{m}^n \subseteq I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$  if and only if  $I$  is  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary.



# Definitions and Notation

Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Noetherian local ring. We say that an ideal  $I$  is  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary if there exists an integer  $n \gg 0$  such that  $\mathfrak{m}^n \subseteq I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ . Crucially, the ideals of finite colength of  $R$  coincide with the  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideals of  $R$ . Explicitly, we have that  $\ell_R(R/I)$  is finite if and only if  $\mathfrak{m}^n(R/I) = 0$  for some integer  $n \gg 0$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{m}^n \subseteq I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$  if and only if  $I$  is  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary.

We say that  $I$  is a *reduction* of  $\mathfrak{m}$  if there exists an integer  $k \gg 0$  such that  $\mathfrak{m}^{k+1} = I\mathfrak{m}^k$ .

# Definitions and Notation

Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Noetherian local ring. We say that an ideal  $I$  is  *$\mathfrak{m}$ -primary* if there exists an integer  $n \gg 0$  such that  $\mathfrak{m}^n \subseteq I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ . Crucially, the ideals of finite colength of  $R$  coincide with the  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideals of  $R$ . Explicitly, we have that  $\ell_R(R/I)$  is finite if and only if  $\mathfrak{m}^n(R/I) = 0$  for some integer  $n \gg 0$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{m}^n \subseteq I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$  if and only if  $I$  is  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary.

We say that  $I$  is a *reduction* of  $\mathfrak{m}$  if there exists an integer  $k \gg 0$  such that  $\mathfrak{m}^{k+1} = I\mathfrak{m}^k$ . Every  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal of a one-dimensional Noetherian local ring  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  with infinite residue field  $k$  admits a principal reduction.

# Definitions and Notation

Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Noetherian local ring. We say that an ideal  $I$  is  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary if there exists an integer  $n \gg 0$  such that  $\mathfrak{m}^n \subseteq I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ . Crucially, the ideals of finite colength of  $R$  coincide with the  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideals of  $R$ . Explicitly, we have that  $\ell_R(R/I)$  is finite if and only if  $\mathfrak{m}^n(R/I) = 0$  for some integer  $n \gg 0$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{m}^n \subseteq I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$  if and only if  $I$  is  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary.

We say that  $I$  is a *reduction* of  $\mathfrak{m}$  if there exists an integer  $k \gg 0$  such that  $\mathfrak{m}^{k+1} = I\mathfrak{m}^k$ . Every  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal of a one-dimensional Noetherian local ring  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  with infinite residue field  $k$  admits a principal reduction. Explicitly, if  $I$  is an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal of  $R$ , then there exists an element  $x \in I$  and an integer  $n \gg 0$  such that  $I^{n+1} = xI^n$ .

# Definitions and Notation

Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a  $d$ -dimensional Noetherian local ring. We define the *Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity* of a finitely generated  $R$ -module  $M$  with respect to an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal  $I$  of  $R$  as

$$e_R(I, M) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(d-1)!}{n^{d-1}} \ell_R \left( \frac{I^n M}{I^{n+1} M} \right).$$

# Definitions and Notation

Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a  $d$ -dimensional Noetherian local ring. We define the *Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity* of a finitely generated  $R$ -module  $M$  with respect to an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal  $I$  of  $R$  as

$$e_R(I, M) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(d-1)!}{n^{d-1}} \ell_R \left( \frac{I^n M}{I^{n+1} M} \right).$$

Particularly, if  $d = 1$ , then we have that  $e_R(I, M) = \ell_R(I^n M / I^{n+1} M)$  for all integers  $n \gg 0$ .

# Definitions and Notation

Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a  $d$ -dimensional Noetherian local ring. We define the *Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity* of a finitely generated  $R$ -module  $M$  with respect to an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal  $I$  of  $R$  as

$$e_R(I, M) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(d-1)!}{n^{d-1}} \ell_R \left( \frac{I^n M}{I^{n+1} M} \right).$$

Particularly, if  $d = 1$ , then we have that  $e_R(I, M) = \ell_R(I^n M / I^{n+1} M)$  for all integers  $n \gg 0$ . We say that a maximal Cohen-Macaulay  $R$ -module  $M$  is *I-Ulrich* provided that  $e_R(I, M) = \ell_R(M/IM)$ .

# Definitions and Notation

Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a  $d$ -dimensional Noetherian local ring. We define the *Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity* of a finitely generated  $R$ -module  $M$  with respect to an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal  $I$  of  $R$  as

$$e_R(I, M) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(d-1)!}{n^{d-1}} \ell_R \left( \frac{I^n M}{I^{n+1} M} \right).$$

Particularly, if  $d = 1$ , then we have that  $e_R(I, M) = \ell_R(I^n M / I^{n+1} M)$  for all integers  $n \gg 0$ . We say that a maximal Cohen-Macaulay  $R$ -module  $M$  is  *$I$ -Ulrich* provided that  $e_R(I, M) = \ell_R(M/IM)$ . For instance, for any nonzero ideal  $I$  of  $R$  and any maximal Cohen-Macaulay  $R$ -module  $M$ , we have that  $I^n M$  is  *$I$ -Ulrich* for all integers  $n \gg 0$ .

# Preliminary Observations

We assume throughout the rest of this talk that  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  is an analytically unramified one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring with infinite residue field  $k$ , total ring of fractions  $Q(R)$ , integral closure  $\overline{R}$ , and conductor  $(R : \overline{R}) = \{\alpha \in Q(R) \mid \alpha \overline{R} \subseteq R\}$ .



# Preliminary Observations

We assume throughout the rest of this talk that  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  is an analytically unramified one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring with infinite residue field  $k$ , total ring of fractions  $Q(R)$ , integral closure  $\overline{R}$ , and conductor  $(R : \overline{R}) = \{\alpha \in Q(R) \mid \alpha \overline{R} \subseteq R\}$ . Under these assumptions,

1.  $R$  admits a canonical ideal  $\omega_R$ , and
2. every  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal of  $R$  has a principal reduction.

# Preliminary Observations

We assume throughout the rest of this talk that  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  is an analytically unramified one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring with infinite residue field  $k$ , total ring of fractions  $Q(R)$ , integral closure  $\overline{R}$ , and conductor  $(R : \overline{R}) = \{\alpha \in Q(R) \mid \alpha \overline{R} \subseteq R\}$ . Under these assumptions,

1.  $R$  admits a canonical ideal  $\omega_R$ , and
2. every  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal of  $R$  has a principal reduction.

Considering that  $\omega_R$  is a regular ideal of  $R$ , we find that

3. the canonical ideal  $\omega_R$  is an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal of  $R$ .

# Preliminary Observations

We assume throughout the rest of this talk that  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  is an analytically unramified one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring with infinite residue field  $k$ , total ring of fractions  $Q(R)$ , integral closure  $\overline{R}$ , and conductor  $(R : \overline{R}) = \{\alpha \in Q(R) \mid \alpha \overline{R} \subseteq R\}$ . Under these assumptions,

1.  $R$  admits a canonical ideal  $\omega_R$ , and
2. every  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal of  $R$  has a principal reduction.

Considering that  $\omega_R$  is a regular ideal of  $R$ , we find that

3. the canonical ideal  $\omega_R$  is an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal of  $R$ .

Generally, every regular ideal of  $R$  has finite colength, hence every regular ideal of  $R$  is  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary, and we find that

4. every regular ideal of  $R$  has a principal reduction by a regular element.

# The Blow-Up Ring of $R$ with Respect to an Ideal $I$

Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ . We define the *blow-up*

$$B(I) = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} (I^n : I^n) = \{\alpha \in Q(R) \mid \alpha I^n \subseteq I^n\}$$

of  $R$  with respect to  $I$ .

# The Blow-Up Ring of $R$ with Respect to an Ideal $I$

Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ . We define the *blow-up*

$$B(I) = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} (I^n : I^n) = \{\alpha \in Q(R) \mid \alpha I^n \subseteq I^n\}$$

of  $R$  with respect to  $I$ . Observe that  $B(I)$  is a birational ring extension of  $R$ .

# The Blow-Up Ring of $R$ with Respect to an Ideal $I$

Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ . We define the *blow-up*

$$B(I) = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} (I^n : I^n) = \{\alpha \in Q(R) \mid \alpha I^n \subseteq I^n\}$$

of  $R$  with respect to  $I$ . Observe that  $B(I)$  is a birational ring extension of  $R$ . We define the *dual blow-up*

$$b(I) = (R : B(I)) = \{\alpha \in Q(R) \mid \alpha B(I) \subseteq R\}.$$

# The Blow-Up Ring of $R$ with Respect to an Ideal $I$

Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ . We define the *blow-up*

$$B(I) = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} (I^n : I^n) = \{\alpha \in Q(R) \mid \alpha I^n \subseteq I^n\}$$

of  $R$  with respect to  $I$ . Observe that  $B(I)$  is a birational ring extension of  $R$ . We define the *dual blow-up*

$$b(I) = (R : B(I)) = \{\alpha \in Q(R) \mid \alpha B(I) \subseteq R\}.$$

We note that if  $I$  is a regular ideal of  $R$ , then  $(R : B(I)) \cong \text{Hom}_R(B(I), R)$  as  $R$ -modules.

# The Blow-Up Ring of $R$ with Respect to an Ideal $I$

Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ . We define the *blow-up*

$$B(I) = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} (I^n : I^n) = \{\alpha \in Q(R) \mid \alpha I^n \subseteq I^n\}$$

of  $R$  with respect to  $I$ . Observe that  $B(I)$  is a birational ring extension of  $R$ . We define the *dual blow-up*

$$b(I) = (R : B(I)) = \{\alpha \in Q(R) \mid \alpha B(I) \subseteq R\}.$$

We note that if  $I$  is a regular ideal of  $R$ , then  $(R : B(I)) \cong \text{Hom}_R(B(I), R)$  as  $R$ -modules. Consequently, if  $B(I)$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module, then  $b(I)$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module, as well.



# The Blow-Up Ring of $R$ with Respect to an Ideal $I$

Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ . We define the *blow-up*

$$B(I) = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} (I^n : I^n) = \{\alpha \in Q(R) \mid \alpha I^n \subseteq I^n\}$$

of  $R$  with respect to  $I$ . Observe that  $B(I)$  is a birational ring extension of  $R$ . We define the *dual blow-up*

$$b(I) = (R : B(I)) = \{\alpha \in Q(R) \mid \alpha B(I) \subseteq R\}.$$

We note that if  $I$  is a regular ideal of  $R$ , then  $(R : B(I)) \cong \text{Hom}_R(B(I), R)$  as  $R$ -modules. Consequently, if  $B(I)$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module, then  $b(I)$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module, as well.

We refer to  $B(\omega_R)$  as the *canonical blow-up* of  $R$ .

# Stable Ideals

Let  $I$  be a regular ideal of  $R$ . We say that  $I$  is *stable* if any of the following equivalent conditions hold (cf. [5, Definition 1.1 and Lemma 1.3]).

# Stable Ideals

Let  $I$  be a regular ideal of  $R$ . We say that  $I$  is *stable* if any of the following equivalent conditions hold (cf. [5, Definition 1.1 and Lemma 1.3]).

- 1. We have that  $B(I) = (I : I)$ .

# Stable Ideals

Let  $I$  be a regular ideal of  $R$ . We say that  $I$  is *stable* if any of the following equivalent conditions hold (cf. [5, Definition 1.1 and Lemma 1.3]).

1. We have that  $B(I) = (I : I)$ .
2. There exists an element  $x \in I$  such that  $I^2 = xI$ .

# Stable Ideals

Let  $I$  be a regular ideal of  $R$ . We say that  $I$  is *stable* if any of the following equivalent conditions hold (cf. [5, Definition 1.1 and Lemma 1.3]).

1. We have that  $B(I) = (I : I)$ .
2. There exists an element  $x \in I$  such that  $I^2 = xI$ .
3. There exists a regular element  $x \in I$  such that  $\frac{I}{x}$  is a ring.

# Stable Ideals

Let  $I$  be a regular ideal of  $R$ . We say that  $I$  is *stable* if any of the following equivalent conditions hold (cf. [5, Definition 1.1 and Lemma 1.3]).

1. We have that  $B(I) = (I : I)$ .
2. There exists an element  $x \in I$  such that  $I^2 = xI$ .
3. There exists a regular element  $x \in I$  such that  $\frac{I}{x}$  is a ring.
4. There exists a regular element  $x \in I$  such that  $B(I) = \frac{I}{x}$ .

# Stable Ideals

Let  $I$  be a regular ideal of  $R$ . We say that  $I$  is *stable* if any of the following equivalent conditions hold (cf. [5, Definition 1.1 and Lemma 1.3]).

1. We have that  $B(I) = (I : I)$ .
2. There exists an element  $x \in I$  such that  $I^2 = xI$ .
3. There exists a regular element  $x \in I$  such that  $\frac{I}{x}$  is a ring.
4. There exists a regular element  $x \in I$  such that  $B(I) = \frac{I}{x}$ .

Particularly, if  $I$  is stable, then  $B(I) = \frac{I}{x}$  is finitely generated as an  $R$ -module, hence  $b(I)$  is finitely generated as an  $R$ -module.

Lemma (cf. [2, Lemma 2.6]).

Every regular ideal  $I$  of  $R$  satisfies the following properties.

- There exists an integer  $n \gg 0$  such that  $I^n$  is stable.



Lemma (cf. [2, Lemma 2.6]).

Every regular ideal  $I$  of  $R$  satisfies the following properties.

1. There exists an integer  $n \gg 0$  such that  $I^n$  is stable.
2. We have that  $I^k$  is stable for all integers  $k \geq n$ .

Lemma (cf. [2, Lemma 2.6]).

Every regular ideal  $I$  of  $R$  satisfies the following properties.

1. There exists an integer  $n \gg 0$  such that  $I^n$  is stable.
2. We have that  $I^k$  is stable for all integers  $k \geq n$ .
3. We have that  $I^n \cong I^k$  as  $R$ -modules for all integers  $k \geq n$ .

Lemma (cf. [2, Lemma 2.6]).

Every regular ideal  $I$  of  $R$  satisfies the following properties.

1. There exists an integer  $n \gg 0$  such that  $I^n$  is stable.
2. We have that  $I^k$  is stable for all integers  $k \geq n$ .
3. We have that  $I^n \cong I^k$  as  $R$ -modules for all integers  $k \geq n$ .
4. We have that  $I^k$  is  $I$ -Ulrich for all integers  $k \geq n$ .

Lemma (cf. [2, Lemma 2.6]).

Every regular ideal  $I$  of  $R$  satisfies the following properties.

1. There exists an integer  $n \gg 0$  such that  $I^n$  is stable.
2. We have that  $I^k$  is stable for all integers  $k \geq n$ .
3. We have that  $I^n \cong I^k$  as  $R$ -modules for all integers  $k \geq n$ .
4. We have that  $I^k$  is  $I$ -Ulrich for all integers  $k \geq n$ .

We outline the proof. If  $I$  is regular, then there exists a regular element  $x \in I$  and an integer  $n \gg 0$  such that  $I^{n+1} = xI^n$ .

Lemma (cf. [2, Lemma 2.6]).

Every regular ideal  $I$  of  $R$  satisfies the following properties.

1. There exists an integer  $n \gg 0$  such that  $I^n$  is stable.
2. We have that  $I^k$  is stable for all integers  $k \geq n$ .
3. We have that  $I^n \cong I^k$  as  $R$ -modules for all integers  $k \geq n$ .
4. We have that  $I^k$  is  $I$ -Ulrich for all integers  $k \geq n$ .

We outline the proof. If  $I$  is regular, then there exists a regular element  $x \in I$  and an integer  $n \gg 0$  such that  $I^{n+1} = xI^n$ . Consequently, we find that  $B(I) = (I^n : I^n)$  by general properties of colon ideals.

Lemma (cf. [2, Lemma 2.6]).

Every regular ideal  $I$  of  $R$  satisfies the following properties.

1. There exists an integer  $n \gg 0$  such that  $I^n$  is stable.
2. We have that  $I^k$  is stable for all integers  $k \geq n$ .
3. We have that  $I^n \cong I^k$  as  $R$ -modules for all integers  $k \geq n$ .
4. We have that  $I^k$  is  $I$ -Ulrich for all integers  $k \geq n$ .

We outline the proof. If  $I$  is regular, then there exists a regular element  $x \in I$  and an integer  $n \gg 0$  such that  $I^{n+1} = xI^n$ . Consequently, we find that  $B(I) = (I^n : I^n)$  by general properties of colon ideals. On the other hand, we have that  $B(I^n) = B(I)$  for all integers  $n \geq 1$ .

# The Stable Class and the Trace of an Ideal

Let  $I$  be a regular ideal of  $R$ . By the previous lemma, there exists an integer  $n \gg 0$  such that  $I^n$  is stable.

# The Stable Class and the Trace of an Ideal

Let  $I$  be a regular ideal of  $R$ . By the previous lemma, there exists an integer  $n \gg 0$  such that  $I^n$  is stable. Consequently, we may define the *stable class* of  $I$  to be the ideal  $\mathfrak{s}_I = I^n$  such that  $n$  is the smallest positive integer such that  $I^n$  is stable.



# The Stable Class and the Trace of an Ideal

Let  $I$  be a regular ideal of  $R$ . By the previous lemma, there exists an integer  $n \gg 0$  such that  $I^n$  is stable. Consequently, we may define the *stable class* of  $I$  to be the ideal  $\mathfrak{s}_I = I^n$  such that  $n$  is the smallest positive integer such that  $I^n$  is stable. Particularly,  $\omega_R$  is a regular ideal of  $R$ , hence the canonical stable class  $\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R}$  is well-defined.

# The Stable Class and the Trace of an Ideal

Let  $I$  be a regular ideal of  $R$ . By the previous lemma, there exists an integer  $n \gg 0$  such that  $I^n$  is stable. Consequently, we may define the *stable class* of  $I$  to be the ideal  $\mathfrak{s}_I = I^n$  such that  $n$  is the smallest positive integer such that  $I^n$  is stable. Particularly,  $\omega_R$  is a regular ideal of  $R$ , hence the canonical stable class  $\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R}$  is well-defined.

We define the *trace* of an  $R$ -module  $M$  as

$$\mathrm{tr}(M) = \sum_{\varphi \in M^*} \varphi(M) = \{\varphi(x) \mid x \in M \text{ and } \varphi \in M^*\}.$$

# The Stable Class and the Trace of an Ideal

Let  $I$  be a regular ideal of  $R$ . By the previous lemma, there exists an integer  $n \gg 0$  such that  $I^n$  is stable. Consequently, we may define the *stable class* of  $I$  to be the ideal  $\mathfrak{s}_I = I^n$  such that  $n$  is the smallest positive integer such that  $I^n$  is stable. Particularly,  $\omega_R$  is a regular ideal of  $R$ , hence the canonical stable class  $\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R}$  is well-defined.

We define the *trace* of an  $R$ -module  $M$  as

$$\mathrm{tr}(M) = \sum_{\varphi \in M^*} \varphi(M) = \{\varphi(x) \mid x \in M \text{ and } \varphi \in M^*\}.$$

We note that  $\mathrm{tr}(M)$  is an ideal of  $R$ , and we have that  $I \subseteq \mathrm{tr}(I)$  for every ideal  $I$  of  $R$ .

# The Stable Class and the Trace of an Ideal

Let  $I$  be a regular ideal of  $R$ . By the previous lemma, there exists an integer  $n \gg 0$  such that  $I^n$  is stable. Consequently, we may define the *stable class* of  $I$  to be the ideal  $\mathfrak{s}_I = I^n$  such that  $n$  is the smallest positive integer such that  $I^n$  is stable. Particularly,  $\omega_R$  is a regular ideal of  $R$ , hence the canonical stable class  $\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R}$  is well-defined.

We define the *trace* of an  $R$ -module  $M$  as

$$\mathrm{tr}(M) = \sum_{\varphi \in M^*} \varphi(M) = \{\varphi(x) \mid x \in M \text{ and } \varphi \in M^*\}.$$

We note that  $\mathrm{tr}(M)$  is an ideal of  $R$ , and we have that  $I \subseteq \mathrm{tr}(I)$  for every ideal  $I$  of  $R$ . We say that  $I$  is a *trace ideal* if equality holds.

# The Stable Class and the Trace of an Ideal

Let  $I$  be a regular ideal of  $R$ . By the previous lemma, there exists an integer  $n \gg 0$  such that  $I^n$  is stable. Consequently, we may define the *stable class* of  $I$  to be the ideal  $\mathfrak{s}_I = I^n$  such that  $n$  is the smallest positive integer such that  $I^n$  is stable. Particularly,  $\omega_R$  is a regular ideal of  $R$ , hence the canonical stable class  $\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R}$  is well-defined.

We define the *trace* of an  $R$ -module  $M$  as

$$\mathrm{tr}(M) = \sum_{\varphi \in M^*} \varphi(M) = \{\varphi(x) \mid x \in M \text{ and } \varphi \in M^*\}.$$

We note that  $\mathrm{tr}(M)$  is an ideal of  $R$ , and we have that  $I \subseteq \mathrm{tr}(I)$  for every ideal  $I$  of  $R$ . We say that  $I$  is a *trace ideal* if equality holds.

If  $I$  is a regular ideal of  $R$ , then  $b(I) = \mathrm{tr}(B(I))$  (cf. [2, Remark 2.3]).

# The Blow-Up, the Stable Class, and (Canonical) Duality

Proposition (cf. [2, Proposition 2.8]).

Let  $I$  be a regular ideal of  $R$ . The following properties hold.

- 1. We have that  $B(I) \cong \mathfrak{s}_I$  as  $R$ -modules.

# The Blow-Up, the Stable Class, and (Canonical) Duality

Proposition (cf. [2, Proposition 2.8]).

Let  $I$  be a regular ideal of  $R$ . The following properties hold.

1. We have that  $B(I) \cong \mathfrak{s}_I$  as  $R$ -modules.
2. We have that  $B(I)$  is Gorenstein if and only if  $B(I) \cong B(I)^\vee$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{s}_I \cong \mathfrak{s}_I^\vee$ , where  $-^\vee = \text{Hom}_R(-, \omega_R)$  is the canonical dual.

# The Blow-Up, the Stable Class, and (Canonical) Duality

Proposition (cf. [2, Proposition 2.8]).

Let  $I$  be a regular ideal of  $R$ . The following properties hold.

1. We have that  $B(I) \cong \mathfrak{s}_I$  as  $R$ -modules.
2. We have that  $B(I)$  is Gorenstein if and only if  $B(I) \cong B(I)^\vee$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{s}_I \cong \mathfrak{s}_I^\vee$ , where  $-^\vee = \text{Hom}_R(-, \omega_R)$  is the canonical dual.
3. We have that  $\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R} \cong \text{tr}(\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R})^* \cong (R : b(\omega_R))$ .



# The Blow-Up, the Stable Class, and (Canonical) Duality

**Proposition (cf. [2, Proposition 2.8]).**

Let  $I$  be a regular ideal of  $R$ . The following properties hold.

1. We have that  $B(I) \cong \mathfrak{s}_I$  as  $R$ -modules.
2. We have that  $B(I)$  is Gorenstein if and only if  $B(I) \cong B(I)^\vee$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{s}_I \cong \mathfrak{s}_I^\vee$ , where  $-^\vee = \text{Hom}_R(-, \omega_R)$  is the canonical dual.
3. We have that  $\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R} \cong \text{tr}(\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R})^* \cong (R : b(\omega_R))$ .

*Proof.*

Property (1.) holds by [5, Lemma 1.3] and [2, Lemma 2.6].

# The Blow-Up, the Stable Class, and (Canonical) Duality

## Proposition (cf. [2, Proposition 2.8]).

Let  $I$  be a regular ideal of  $R$ . The following properties hold.

1. We have that  $B(I) \cong \mathfrak{s}_I$  as  $R$ -modules.
2. We have that  $B(I)$  is Gorenstein if and only if  $B(I) \cong B(I)^\vee$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{s}_I \cong \mathfrak{s}_I^\vee$ , where  $-^\vee = \text{Hom}_R(-, \omega_R)$  is the canonical dual.
3. We have that  $\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R} \cong \text{tr}(\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R})^* \cong (R : b(\omega_R))$ .

## *Proof.*

Property (1.) holds by [5, Lemma 1.3] and [2, Lemma 2.6]. Observe that  $B(I) = R[I^n/x^n]$ , hence the canonical map  $R \rightarrow B(I)$  is a local ring homomorphism of one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local rings, and  $B(I)$  admits a canonical module  $B(I)^\vee$ ; this shows property (2.).

# The Blow-Up, the Stable Class, and (Canonical) Duality

*Proof.*

Last, we will establish that  $\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R} \cong \mathrm{tr}(\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R})^* \cong (R : b(\omega_R))$ . We have that

$$\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R}^* = \mathrm{Hom}_R(B(\omega_R), R) \cong b(\omega_R) = \mathrm{tr}(B(\omega_R)) = \mathrm{tr}(\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R}).$$

# The Blow-Up, the Stable Class, and (Canonical) Duality

*Proof.*

Last, we will establish that  $\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R} \cong \mathrm{tr}(\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R})^* \cong (R : b(\omega_R))$ . We have that

$$\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R}^* = \mathrm{Hom}_R(B(\omega_R), R) \cong b(\omega_R) = \mathrm{tr}(B(\omega_R)) = \mathrm{tr}(\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R}).$$

By [1, Corollary 4.29], the canonical stable class  $\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R}$  is reflexive, hence we conclude that  $\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R} = \mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R}^{**} \cong \mathrm{tr}(\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R})^* = b(\omega_R)^* \cong (R : b(\omega_R))$ . QED.

Theorem (cf. [2, Theorem 2.9]).

The following properties are equivalent.

- We have that  $B(\omega_R) = R$ .

Theorem (cf. [2, Theorem 2.9]).

The following properties are equivalent.

1. We have that  $B(\omega_R) = R$ .
2. We have that  $b(\omega_R) = R$ .

Theorem (cf. [2, Theorem 2.9]).

The following properties are equivalent.

1. We have that  $B(\omega_R) = R$ .
2. We have that  $b(\omega_R) = R$ .
3.  $R$  is Gorenstein.

# Detecting Gorensteinness from the Canonical Blow-Up

**Theorem (cf. [2, Theorem 2.9]).**

The following properties are equivalent.

1. We have that  $B(\omega_R) = R$ .
2. We have that  $b(\omega_R) = R$ .
3.  $R$  is Gorenstein.

*Proof.*

We will establish that  $b(\omega_R) = R$  implies that  $R$  is Gorenstein.



# Detecting Gorensteinness from the Canonical Blow-Up

**Theorem (cf. [2, Theorem 2.9]).**

The following properties are equivalent.

1. We have that  $B(\omega_R) = R$ .
2. We have that  $b(\omega_R) = R$ .
3.  $R$  is Gorenstein.

*Proof.*

We will establish that  $b(\omega_R) = R$  implies that  $R$  is Gorenstein. By [1, Corollary 4.7], it suffices to show that  $R$  is  $\omega_R$ -Ulrich.

**Theorem (cf. [2, Theorem 2.9]).**

The following properties are equivalent.

1. We have that  $B(\omega_R) = R$ .
2. We have that  $b(\omega_R) = R$ .
3.  $R$  is Gorenstein.

*Proof.*

We will establish that  $b(\omega_R) = R$  implies that  $R$  is Gorenstein. By [1, Corollary 4.7], it suffices to show that  $R$  is  $\omega_R$ -Ulrich. Observe that  $R = b(\omega_R) = (R : B(\omega_R)) = (R : \mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R})$ .

# Detecting Gorensteinness from the Canonical Blow-Up

**Theorem (cf. [2, Theorem 2.9]).**

The following properties are equivalent.

1. We have that  $B(\omega_R) = R$ .
2. We have that  $b(\omega_R) = R$ .
3.  $R$  is Gorenstein.

*Proof.*

We will establish that  $b(\omega_R) = R$  implies that  $R$  is Gorenstein. By [1, Corollary 4.7], it suffices to show that  $R$  is  $\omega_R$ -Ulrich. Observe that  $R = b(\omega_R) = (R : B(\omega_R)) = (R : \mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R})$ . On the other hand, we have that  $R = b(\omega_R) = \text{tr}(B(\omega_R)) = \text{tr}(B(\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R})) \subseteq \text{tr}(\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R}) \subseteq R$ . By [4, Proposition 2.4], we conclude that  $\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R} = R\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R} = (R : \mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R})\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R} = \text{tr}(\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R}) = R$ . QED.

Corollary (cf. [2, Corollary 2.10]).

The following properties are equivalent.

1.  $B(\omega_R)$  is Gorenstein.

# Equivalent Conditions for Gorenstein Canonical Blow-Up

Corollary (cf. [2, Corollary 2.10]).

The following properties are equivalent.

1.  $B(\omega_R)$  is Gorenstein.
2. We have that  $\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R} \cong \mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R}^{\vee}$ .

Corollary (cf. [2, Corollary 2.10]).

The following properties are equivalent.

1.  $B(\omega_R)$  is Gorenstein.
2. We have that  $\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R} \cong \mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R}^{\vee}$ .
3. We have that  $\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R}$  is self-dual.

# Equivalent Conditions for Gorenstein Canonical Blow-Up

Corollary (cf. [2, Corollary 2.10]).

The following properties are equivalent.

1.  $B(\omega_R)$  is Gorenstein.
2. We have that  $\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R} \cong \mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R}^{\vee}$ .
3. We have that  $\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R}$  is self-dual.
4. We have that  $\text{tr}(\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R})$  is stable.

# Equivalent Conditions for Gorenstein Canonical Blow-Up

Corollary (cf. [2, Corollary 2.10]).

The following properties are equivalent.

1.  $B(\omega_R)$  is Gorenstein.
2. We have that  $\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R} \cong \mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R}^\vee$ .
3. We have that  $\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R}$  is self-dual.
4. We have that  $\text{tr}(\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R})$  is stable.

*Proof.*

Conditions (1.) and (2.) are equivalent by [2, Proposition 2.8].



# Equivalent Conditions for Gorenstein Canonical Blow-Up

Corollary (cf. [2, Corollary 2.10]).

The following properties are equivalent.

1.  $B(\omega_R)$  is Gorenstein.
2. We have that  $\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R} \cong \mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R}^\vee$ .
3. We have that  $\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R}$  is self-dual.
4. We have that  $\text{tr}(\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R})$  is stable.

*Proof.*

Conditions (1.) and (2.) are equivalent by [2, Proposition 2.8]. By [2, Lemma 2.6], we have that  $\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R}$  is  $\omega_R$ -Ulrich, from which it follows that  $\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R}^* = \text{Hom}_R(\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R}, R) \cong \text{Hom}_R(\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R}, \omega_R) = \mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R}^\vee$  by [1, Corollary 4.27].

# Equivalent Conditions for Gorenstein Canonical Blow-Up

Corollary (cf. [2, Corollary 2.10]).

The following properties are equivalent.

1.  $B(\omega_R)$  is Gorenstein.
2. We have that  $\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R} \cong \mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R}^\vee$ .
3. We have that  $\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R}$  is self-dual.
4. We have that  $\text{tr}(\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R})$  is stable.

*Proof.*

Conditions (1.) and (2.) are equivalent by [2, Proposition 2.8]. By [2, Lemma 2.6], we have that  $\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R}$  is  $\omega_R$ -Ulrich, from which it follows that  $\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R}^* = \text{Hom}_R(\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R}, R) \cong \text{Hom}_R(\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R}, \omega_R) = \mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R}^\vee$  by [1, Corollary 4.27]. Last, (3.) and (4.) are equivalent by [6, Corollary 4.10]. QED.

# The Gorenstein Canonical Blow-Up (GCB) Property

We say that  $R$  has the *Gorenstein canonical blow-up* (GCB) property if  $B(\omega_R)$  is Gorenstein.

# The Gorenstein Canonical Blow-Up (GCB) Property

We say that  $R$  has the *Gorenstein canonical blow-up* (GCB) property if  $B(\omega_R)$  is Gorenstein. We may also say that  $R$  is GCB.

# The Gorenstein Canonical Blow-Up (GCB) Property

We say that  $R$  has the *Gorenstein canonical blow-up* (GCB) property if  $B(\omega_R)$  is Gorenstein. We may also say that  $R$  is GCB.

Recall that a one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring is *Arf* if every integrally closed regular ideal is stable.

# The Gorenstein Canonical Blow-Up (GCB) Property

We say that  $R$  has the *Gorenstein canonical blow-up* (GCB) property if  $B(\omega_R)$  is Gorenstein. We may also say that  $R$  is GCB.

Recall that a one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring is *Arf* if every integrally closed regular ideal is stable. By [6, Theorem 7.4], our ring  $R$  is Arf if and only if every regular trace ideal is stable.

# The Gorenstein Canonical Blow-Up (GCB) Property

We say that  $R$  has the *Gorenstein canonical blow-up* (GCB) property if  $B(\omega_R)$  is Gorenstein. We may also say that  $R$  is GCB.

Recall that a one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring is *Arf* if every integrally closed regular ideal is stable. By [6, Theorem 7.4], our ring  $R$  is Arf if and only if every regular trace ideal is stable. Particularly, if  $R$  is Arf, then the regular trace ideal  $\text{tr}(\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R})$  is stable.

# The Gorenstein Canonical Blow-Up (GCB) Property

We say that  $R$  has the *Gorenstein canonical blow-up* (GCB) property if  $B(\omega_R)$  is Gorenstein. We may also say that  $R$  is GCB.

Recall that a one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring is *Arf* if every integrally closed regular ideal is stable. By [6, Theorem 7.4], our ring  $R$  is Arf if and only if every regular trace ideal is stable. Particularly, if  $R$  is Arf, then the regular trace ideal  $\text{tr}(\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R})$  is stable.

Corollary (cf. [2, Corollary 2.13]).

If  $R$  is Arf, then  $R$  is GCB.



# Nearly Gorenstein and Almost Gorenstein Rings

Let  $(S, \mathfrak{m})$  be a one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring such that  $\bar{S}$  is  $S$ -module-finite and  $S$  admits a canonical module  $S \subseteq C \subseteq \bar{S}$ . We say that  $S$  is *almost Gorenstein* if any of the following equivalent conditions holds.

- 1. We have that  $\ell_S(\bar{S}/S) = \ell_S(S/(S : \bar{S})) + r(S) - 1$ .

# Nearly Gorenstein and Almost Gorenstein Rings

Let  $(S, \mathfrak{m})$  be a one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring such that  $\overline{S}$  is  $S$ -module-finite and  $S$  admits a canonical module  $S \subseteq C \subseteq \overline{S}$ . We say that  $S$  is *almost Gorenstein* if any of the following equivalent conditions holds.

1. We have that  $\ell_S(\overline{S}/S) = \ell_S(S/(S : \overline{S})) + r(S) - 1$ .
2. We have that  $r(S) = \ell_S(C/S) + 1$ .

# Nearly Gorenstein and Almost Gorenstein Rings

Let  $(S, \mathfrak{m})$  be a one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring such that  $\overline{S}$  is  $S$ -module-finite and  $S$  admits a canonical module  $S \subseteq C \subseteq \overline{S}$ . We say that  $S$  is *almost Gorenstein* if any of the following equivalent conditions holds.

1. We have that  $\ell_S(\overline{S}/S) = \ell_S(S/(S : \overline{S})) + r(S) - 1$ .
2. We have that  $r(S) = \ell_S(C/S) + 1$ .
3. We have that  $\mathfrak{m}C = \mathfrak{m}$ .

# Nearly Gorenstein and Almost Gorenstein Rings

Let  $(S, \mathfrak{m})$  be a one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring such that  $\overline{S}$  is  $S$ -module-finite and  $S$  admits a canonical module  $S \subseteq C \subseteq \overline{S}$ . We say that  $S$  is *almost Gorenstein* if any of the following equivalent conditions holds.

1. We have that  $\ell_S(\overline{S}/S) = \ell_S(S/(S : \overline{S})) + r(S) - 1$ .
2. We have that  $r(S) = \ell_S(C/S) + 1$ .
3. We have that  $\mathfrak{m}C = \mathfrak{m}$ .
4. We have that  $C \subseteq (\mathfrak{m} : \mathfrak{m})$ .

# Nearly Gorenstein and Almost Gorenstein Rings

Let  $(S, \mathfrak{m})$  be a one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring such that  $\overline{S}$  is  $S$ -module-finite and  $S$  admits a canonical module  $S \subseteq C \subseteq \overline{S}$ . We say that  $S$  is *almost Gorenstein* if any of the following equivalent conditions holds.

1. We have that  $\ell_S(\overline{S}/S) = \ell_S(S/(S : \overline{S})) + r(S) - 1$ .
2. We have that  $r(S) = \ell_S(C/S) + 1$ .
3. We have that  $\mathfrak{m}C = \mathfrak{m}$ .
4. We have that  $C \subseteq (\mathfrak{m} : \mathfrak{m})$ .

# Nearly Gorenstein and Almost Gorenstein Rings

Let  $(S, \mathfrak{m})$  be a one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring such that  $\overline{S}$  is  $S$ -module-finite and  $S$  admits a canonical module  $S \subseteq C \subseteq \overline{S}$ . We say that  $S$  is *almost Gorenstein* if any of the following equivalent conditions holds.

1. We have that  $\ell_S(\overline{S}/S) = \ell_S(S/(S : \overline{S})) + r(S) - 1$ .
2. We have that  $r(S) = \ell_S(C/S) + 1$ .
3. We have that  $\mathfrak{m}C = \mathfrak{m}$ .
4. We have that  $C \subseteq (\mathfrak{m} : \mathfrak{m})$ .

Observe that if  $\mathfrak{m}C = \mathfrak{m}$ , then  $\mathfrak{m} = \text{tr}(\mathfrak{m}) = \text{tr}(\mathfrak{m}C) = \mathfrak{m} \text{tr}(C) \subseteq \text{tr}(C)$ .

# Nearly Gorenstein and Almost Gorenstein Rings

Let  $(S, \mathfrak{m})$  be a one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring such that  $\overline{S}$  is  $S$ -module-finite and  $S$  admits a canonical module  $S \subseteq C \subseteq \overline{S}$ . We say that  $S$  is *almost Gorenstein* if any of the following equivalent conditions holds.

1. We have that  $\ell_S(\overline{S}/S) = \ell_S(S/(S : \overline{S})) + r(S) - 1$ .
2. We have that  $r(S) = \ell_S(C/S) + 1$ .
3. We have that  $\mathfrak{m}C = \mathfrak{m}$ .
4. We have that  $C \subseteq (\mathfrak{m} : \mathfrak{m})$ .

Observe that if  $\mathfrak{m}C = \mathfrak{m}$ , then  $\mathfrak{m} = \text{tr}(\mathfrak{m}) = \text{tr}(\mathfrak{m}C) = \mathfrak{m} \text{tr}(C) \subseteq \text{tr}(C)$ . We say that  $S$  is *nearly Gorenstein* if it only holds  $\text{tr}(C) \supseteq \mathfrak{m}$ , hence every almost Gorenstein ring is nearly Gorenstein.

# Nearly Gorenstein and Almost Gorenstein Rings

Proposition (cf. [2, Proposition 2.18]).

Suppose that  $\bar{R}$  is finitely generated as an  $R$ -module, e.g.,  $R$  is reduced. If  $R$  has minimal multiplicity, then the following conditions are equivalent.

1.  $R$  is nearly Gorenstein



# Nearly Gorenstein and Almost Gorenstein Rings

Proposition (cf. [2, Proposition 2.18]).

Suppose that  $\overline{R}$  is finitely generated as an  $R$ -module, e.g.,  $R$  is reduced. If  $R$  has minimal multiplicity, then the following conditions are equivalent.

1.  $R$  is nearly Gorenstein
2.  $R$  is almost Gorenstein.

# Nearly Gorenstein and Almost Gorenstein Rings

Proposition (cf. [2, Proposition 2.18]).

Suppose that  $\overline{R}$  is finitely generated as an  $R$ -module, e.g.,  $R$  is reduced. If  $R$  has minimal multiplicity, then the following conditions are equivalent.

1.  $R$  is nearly Gorenstein
2.  $R$  is almost Gorenstein.

Further, if either of these conditions holds, then  $R$  is GCB.

# Nearly Gorenstein and Almost Gorenstein Rings

Proposition (cf. [2, Proposition 2.18]).

Suppose that  $\overline{R}$  is finitely generated as an  $R$ -module, e.g.,  $R$  is reduced. If  $R$  has minimal multiplicity, then the following conditions are equivalent.

1.  $R$  is nearly Gorenstein
2.  $R$  is almost Gorenstein.

Further, if either of these conditions holds, then  $R$  is GCB.

*Proof.*

Conditions (1.) and (2.) are equivalent by [6, Theorem 6.6] or [6, Corollary 8.4], so it suffices to show that (2.) implies that  $R$  is GCB.

# Nearly Gorenstein and Almost Gorenstein Rings

Proposition (cf. [2, Proposition 2.18]).

Suppose that  $\overline{R}$  is finitely generated as an  $R$ -module, e.g.,  $R$  is reduced. If  $R$  has minimal multiplicity, then the following conditions are equivalent.

1.  $R$  is nearly Gorenstein
2.  $R$  is almost Gorenstein.

Further, if either of these conditions holds, then  $R$  is GCB.

*Proof.*

Conditions (1.) and (2.) are equivalent by [6, Theorem 6.6] or [6, Corollary 8.4], so it suffices to show that (2.) implies that  $R$  is GCB. If  $R$  is Gorenstein, then  $B(\omega_R) = R$  is Gorenstein. Consequently, we may assume that  $R$  is almost Gorenstein but not Gorenstein.

*Proof.*

By [4, Exercise 4.6.14], if  $R$  has minimal multiplicity, then  $\mathfrak{m}$  is stable.

*Proof.*

By [4, Exercise 4.6.14], if  $R$  has minimal multiplicity, then  $\mathfrak{m}$  is stable. If  $R$  is nearly Gorenstein, then  $\operatorname{tr}(\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R}) = \operatorname{tr}(B(\omega_R)) \supseteq \mathfrak{m}$ .

# Nearly Gorenstein and Almost Gorenstein Rings

## *Proof.*

By [4, Exercise 4.6.14], if  $R$  has minimal multiplicity, then  $\mathfrak{m}$  is stable. If  $R$  is nearly Gorenstein, then  $\operatorname{tr}(\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R}) = \operatorname{tr}(B(\omega_R)) \supseteq \mathfrak{m}$ . We claim that  $\operatorname{tr}(\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R}) = \mathfrak{m}$ , from which it follows that  $\operatorname{tr}(\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R})$  is stable, hence  $B(\omega_R)$  is Gorenstein.

# Nearly Gorenstein and Almost Gorenstein Rings

## *Proof.*

By [4, Exercise 4.6.14], if  $R$  has minimal multiplicity, then  $\mathfrak{m}$  is stable. If  $R$  is nearly Gorenstein, then  $\operatorname{tr}(\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R}) = \operatorname{tr}(B(\omega_R)) \supseteq \mathfrak{m}$ . We claim that  $\operatorname{tr}(\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R}) = \mathfrak{m}$ , from which it follows that  $\operatorname{tr}(\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R})$  is stable, hence  $B(\omega_R)$  is Gorenstein. On the contrary, if  $R = \operatorname{tr}(\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_R}) = \operatorname{tr}(B(\omega_R)) = b(\omega_R)$ , then  $R$  must be Gorenstein — a contradiction. QED.



# An Almost Gorenstein Ring That Is Not GCB

Our hypothesis that  $R$  has minimal multiplicity cannot be dropped.

# An Almost Gorenstein Ring That Is Not GCB

Our hypothesis that  $R$  has minimal multiplicity cannot be dropped.

Example (cf. [2, Proposition 4.18]).

Consider the numerical semigroup ring  $R = k[[t^4, t^7, t^9]]$  for an infinite field  $k$ .

# An Almost Gorenstein Ring That Is Not GCB

Our hypothesis that  $R$  has minimal multiplicity cannot be dropped.

Example (cf. [2, Proposition 4.18]).

Consider the numerical semigroup ring  $R = k[[t^4, t^7, t^9]]$  for an infinite field  $k$ . One can show that the underlying numerical semigroup  $S = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\langle 4, 7, 9 \rangle$  is almost symmetric, hence  $R$  is almost Gorenstein;

# An Almost Gorenstein Ring That Is Not GCB

Our hypothesis that  $R$  has minimal multiplicity cannot be dropped.

Example (cf. [2, Proposition 4.18]).

Consider the numerical semigroup ring  $R = k[[t^4, t^7, t^9]]$  for an infinite field  $k$ . One can show that the underlying numerical semigroup  $S = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\langle 4, 7, 9 \rangle$  is almost symmetric, hence  $R$  is almost Gorenstein; however, we have that  $B(\omega_R) = k[[t^4, t^5, t^7]]$  is not Gorenstein.

# A GCB Ring of Minimal Multiplicity That Is Not AG

Even more, there exist GCB rings of minimal multiplicity that are not almost Gorenstein (and hence not nearly Gorenstein).

# A GCB Ring of Minimal Multiplicity That Is Not AG

Even more, there exist GCB rings of minimal multiplicity that are not almost Gorenstein (and hence not nearly Gorenstein).

Example (cf. [2, Remark 2.19]).

Consider the numerical semigroup ring  $R = k[[t^3, t^7, t^8]]$  for an infinite field  $k$ .

# A GCB Ring of Minimal Multiplicity That Is Not AG

Even more, there exist GCB rings of minimal multiplicity that are not almost Gorenstein (and hence not nearly Gorenstein).

Example (cf. [2, Remark 2.19]).

Consider the numerical semigroup ring  $R = k[[t^3, t^7, t^8]]$  for an infinite field  $k$ . Observe that the underlying numerical semigroup  $S = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\langle 3, 7, 8 \rangle$  has maximal embedding dimension, hence  $R$  has minimal multiplicity.

# A GCB Ring of Minimal Multiplicity That Is Not AG

Even more, there exist GCB rings of minimal multiplicity that are not almost Gorenstein (and hence not nearly Gorenstein).

Example (cf. [2, Remark 2.19]).

Consider the numerical semigroup ring  $R = k[[t^3, t^7, t^8]]$  for an infinite field  $k$ . Observe that the underlying numerical semigroup  $S = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\langle 3, 7, 8 \rangle$  has maximal embedding dimension, hence  $R$  has minimal multiplicity. One can show that  $B(\omega_R) = k[[t]]$ , hence  $R$  is GCB;



# A GCB Ring of Minimal Multiplicity That Is Not AG

Even more, there exist GCB rings of minimal multiplicity that are not almost Gorenstein (and hence not nearly Gorenstein).

Example (cf. [2, Remark 2.19]).

Consider the numerical semigroup ring  $R = k[[t^3, t^7, t^8]]$  for an infinite field  $k$ . Observe that the underlying numerical semigroup  $S = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\langle 3, 7, 8 \rangle$  has maximal embedding dimension, hence  $R$  has minimal multiplicity. One can show that  $B(\omega_R) = k[[t]]$ , hence  $R$  is GCB; however, the numerical semigroup  $S$  is not almost symmetric, hence  $R$  is not almost Gorenstein.

# Connections to Numerical Semigroups

Let  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  be pairwise relatively prime positive integers. Let  $S = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$  be numerical semigroup generated by  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ . By Bézout's Theorem, we have that  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \setminus S$  is finite, hence we may consider the largest positive integer not contained in  $S$ .

# Connections to Numerical Semigroups

Let  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  be pairwise relatively prime positive integers. Let  $S = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$  be numerical semigroup generated by  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ . By Bézout's Theorem, we have that  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \setminus S$  is finite, hence we may consider the largest positive integer not contained in  $S$ . We refer to this as the *Frobenius number*  $F(S)$  of  $S$ ;

# Connections to Numerical Semigroups

Let  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  be pairwise relatively prime positive integers. Let  $S = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$  be numerical semigroup generated by  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ . By Bézout's Theorem, we have that  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \setminus S$  is finite, hence we may consider the largest positive integer not contained in  $S$ . We refer to this as the *Frobenius number*  $F(S)$  of  $S$ ; the *pseudo-Frobenius numbers* of  $S$  are

$$\text{PF}(S) = \{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \setminus S \mid n + s \in S \text{ for all elements } s \in S \setminus \{0\}\}.$$

Proposition (cf. [2, Proposition 5.3]).

Let  $K$  be an infinite field. Let  $R = K[[t^s \mid s \in S]]$  be the numerical semigroup ring associated to the numerical semigroup  $S$ .

- $R$  admits a canonical ideal  $\omega_R$ .

Proposition (cf. [2, Proposition 5.3]).

Let  $K$  be an infinite field. Let  $R = K[[t^s \mid s \in S]]$  be the numerical semigroup ring associated to the numerical semigroup  $S$ .

1.  $R$  admits a canonical ideal  $\omega_R$ .
2. We have that

$$B(\omega_R) = R[[t^{F(S)-x} \mid x \in \text{PF}(S)]].$$

Proposition (cf. [2, Proposition 5.3]).

Let  $K$  be an infinite field. Let  $R = K[[t^s \mid s \in S]]$  be the numerical semigroup ring associated to the numerical semigroup  $S$ .

1.  $R$  admits a canonical ideal  $\omega_R$ .
2. We have that

$$B(\omega_R) = R[[t^{F(S)-x} \mid x \in \text{PF}(S)]].$$

We say that  $S$  is *divisible* if  $F(S) - 1 \in \text{PF}(S)$  (i.e.,  $B(\omega_R)$  is regular).

# Familiar Classes of GCB Rings

We conclude with the following summary.



# Familiar Classes of GCB Rings

We conclude with the following summary.

**Theorem (cf. [2, Theorem 2.20]).**

Let  $R$  be an analytically unramified one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring with infinite residue field. If  $R$  any of these hold, then  $R$  is GCB.

- $R$  is Arf.

# Familiar Classes of GCB Rings

We conclude with the following summary.

**Theorem (cf. [2, Theorem 2.20]).**

Let  $R$  be an analytically unramified one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring with infinite residue field. If  $R$  any of these hold, then  $R$  is GCB.

1.  $R$  is Arf.
2.  $R$  is nearly Gorenstein rings of minimal multiplicity.

# Familiar Classes of GCB Rings

We conclude with the following summary.

**Theorem (cf. [2, Theorem 2.20]).**

Let  $R$  be an analytically unramified one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring with infinite residue field. If  $R$  any of these hold, then  $R$  is GCB.

1.  $R$  is Arf.
2.  $R$  is nearly Gorenstein rings of minimal multiplicity.
3.  $R$  is almost Gorenstein rings of minimal multiplicity.

# Familiar Classes of GCB Rings

We conclude with the following summary.

**Theorem (cf. [2, Theorem 2.20]).**

Let  $R$  be an analytically unramified one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring with infinite residue field. If  $R$  any of these hold, then  $R$  is GCB.

1.  $R$  is Arf.
2.  $R$  is nearly Gorenstein rings of minimal multiplicity.
3.  $R$  is almost Gorenstein rings of minimal multiplicity.
4.  $R$  is a divisive numerical semigroup ring.

# Familiar Classes of GCB Rings

We conclude with the following summary.

**Theorem (cf. [2, Theorem 2.20]).**

Let  $R$  be an analytically unramified one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring with infinite residue field. If  $R$  any of these hold, then  $R$  is GCB.

1.  $R$  is Arf.
2.  $R$  is nearly Gorenstein rings of minimal multiplicity.
3.  $R$  is almost Gorenstein rings of minimal multiplicity.
4.  $R$  is a divisive numerical semigroup ring.
5.  $R$  is a far-flung Gorenstein numerical semigroup ring.

# Familiar Classes of GCB Rings

We conclude with the following summary.

**Theorem (cf. [2, Theorem 2.20]).**

Let  $R$  be an analytically unramified one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring with infinite residue field. If  $R$  any of these hold, then  $R$  is GCB.

1.  $R$  is Arf.
2.  $R$  is nearly Gorenstein rings of minimal multiplicity.
3.  $R$  is almost Gorenstein rings of minimal multiplicity.
4.  $R$  is a divisive numerical semigroup ring.
5.  $R$  is a far-flung Gorenstein numerical semigroup ring.
6.  $R$  is a numerical semigroup ring of multiplicity  $\leq 3$ .







# Questions

# Acknowledgments






I express my gratitude to my academic advisor and co-author Hailong Dao for his insight, expertise, and guidance in collaboration on this paper. I thank Souvik Dey for his unfailing advice in our countless conversations. Last, I would like to thank the creators of the GAP System, which I have used extensively for computations with numerical semigroups.









# References






-  A. Assi and P.A. García-Sánchez, *Numerical Semigroups and Applications*, hal-01085760, 2014.
-  D.C. Beck and H. Dao, *Canonical Blow-Up of One-Dimensional Singularities*, in progress.
-  V. Barucci and R. Fröberg, *One-Dimensional Almost Gorenstein Rings*, *Journal of Algebra*, **188**, 418-442, 1997.
-  W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay Rings*, *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*, **39**, Cambridge University Press, 1993.
-  H. Dao, *Reflexive modules, self-dual modules, and Arf rings*, arXiv preprint, arXiv:2105.12240v1, 2021.
-  H. Dao, H. Lindo, *Stable trace ideals and applications*, arXiv preprint, arXiv:2106.07064v1, 2021.



# References

-  H. Dao, S. Maitra, P. Sridhar, *On reflexive and I-Ulrich modules over curve singularities*, arXiv preprint, arXiv:2101.02641v5, 2021.
-  M. Delgado, P.A. García-Sánchez, and J. Morais, *numericalsgps: a GAP System package on numerical semigroups*, <http://www.gap-system.org/Packages/numericalsgps.html>.
-  M. Delgado, P.A. García-Sánchez, and A.M. Robles-Pérez, *Numerical semigroups with a given set of pseudo-Frobenius numbers*, LMS J. Comput. Math., **19**(1), 186-205, 2016.
-  R. Fröberg, *The Frobenius number of some semigroups*, Commutative Algebra, **22**, 6021-6024, 1994.
-  R. Gilmer, *Commutative Semigroup Rings*, Chicago Lectures in Mathematics, **16**, The University of Chicago Press, 1984.

# References

-  P.A. García-Sánchez and J.C. Rosales, *Numerical semigroups generated by intervals*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics, **191**, 1999.
-  P.A. García-Sánchez and J.C. Rosales, *Numerical Semigroups*, Developments in Mathematics, **20**, Springer, 2009.
-  S. Goto and K. Watanabe, *On graded rings, I*, Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan, **30**, 1978.
-  J. Herzog, *Generators and relations of abelian semigroups and semigroup rings*, LSU Historical Dissertations and Theses, **1663**, 1969.
-  J. Herzog and E. Kunz, *Der kanonische Modul eines Cohen-Macaulay Rings*, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **238**, 1971.
-  J. Herzog, T. Hibi, and D.I. Stamate, *The trace of the canonical module*, Israel Journal of Mathematics, **233**, 133-165, 2019.

-  J. Herzog, T. Hibi, and D.I. Stamate, *Canonical trace ideal and residue for numerical semigroup rings*, Semigroup Forum, **103**, 550-566, 2021.
-  J. Herzog, S. Kumashiro, and D.I. Stamate, *The tiny trace ideals of the canonical modules in Cohen-Macaulay rings of dimension one*, arXiv preprint, arXiv 2106.09404v1, 2021.
-  C. Huneke and I. Swanson, *Integral closure of ideals, rings and modules*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 336.
-  T. Kobayashi and R. Takahashi, *Rings whose ideals are isomorphic to trace ideals*, Mathematische Nachrichten, **292**(10), 2252-2261, 2019.
-  J. Lipman, *Stable ideals and Arf rings*, American Journal of Mathematics, **93**, 649-685, 1971.

-  A. Moscariello and F. Strazzanti, *Nearly Gorenstein vs almost Gorenstein affine monomial curves*, Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics, **18**(127), 2021.
-  M. Nagata, *On the structure of complete local rings*, Nagoya Mathematical Journal, **1**, 63-70, 1950.